

## **STEVEN LUBET**

Northwestern University Pritzker School of Law  
357 East Chicago Avenue  
Chicago, Illinois 60611  
Phone: 312.503.6605  
Fax: 312.503.8977  
slubet@law.northwestern.edu

### **EDUCATION**

University of California at Berkeley, School of Law (Boalt Hall), J.D., 1973.  
Northwestern University, B.A. History (Phi Beta Kappa), 1970.

### **PROFESSIONAL POSITIONS**

Northwestern University Pritzker School of Law. Present: Williams Memorial Professor of Law and Director, Fred Bartlit Center for Trial Strategy; Previous: Professor of Law, 1981-2007; Associate Professor, 1978-1981; Assistant Professor, 1976-1978; Visiting Assistant Professor, 1975-1976; Northwestern University Legal Clinic, 1975-1987. Subjects taught include Legal Ethics, Business Litigation, Trial Advocacy, Narrative Structures, Lawyer Memoirs, Criminal Procedure, Civil Procedure, Immigration and Nationality Law, Clinical Practice, Negotiation Workshop, Juvenile Law, Client Counseling, and Pretrial Litigation.

Emory University School of Law. Visiting Professor of Law, 1987-1988. Courses include Legal Profession, Negotiation Workshop, Advanced Litigation, and Trial Advocacy.

Editorial Board, *Court Review*. American Judges Association. 1998 - 2002.

National Conference of Bar Examiners. Drafting Committee, Multi-State Professional Responsibility Exam, 1991-1995.

American Judicature Society. Fellow, 1983-87.

National Institute for Trial Advocacy. Director of Expert Witness Programs, 1982-1998; Director of Midwest Deposition Program, 1988-1999; Instructor, Assistant Team Leader and Teaching Team Leader, Midwest Regional Trial Advocacy Program, 1978-1986.

Illinois Office of Education. Special Education Hearing Officer, 1978-1981.

Federal Trade Commission. Designated Consumer Representative, 1977-1978. Served as counsel for consumer interests at rulemaking hearings in Chicago and San Francisco.

DePaul University School of Law. Instructor on legal research and writing, 1974-1975.

Legal Assistance Foundation of Chicago. Staff Attorney, 1973-1975.

## **AWARDS AND HONORS**

Society of Midland Authors Biography Award (Finalist) for *John Brown's Spy*, 2013

Southern California Book Festival Nonfiction Award (Honorable Mention) for *John Brown's Spy*, 2013

Langum Prize in American Legal History (Honorable Mention) for *Fugitive Justice*, 2011

Dean's Teaching Award, Northwestern University Pritzker School of Law, 1999, 2000, 2008

Hon. Prentice Marshall Trial Advocacy Award, National Institute for Trial Advocacy, 1999

Emil Gumpert Award for Trial Advocacy, American Association for Justice, 1995

Association of American Publishers Book Award (Honorable Mention) for *Judicial Conduct and Ethics*, 1990

## **PUBLICATIONS**

### **Books and Monographs**

INTERROGATING ETHNOGRAPHY: WHY EVIDENCE MATTERS. Oxford University Press (2017).

THE "COLORED HERO" OF HARPER'S FERRY: JOHN ANTHONY COPELAND AND THE WAR AGAINST SLAVERY. Cambridge University Press (2015).

JUDICIAL CONDUCT AND ETHICS. Lexis Law Publishing (5<sup>th</sup> Edition, 2013)(with James Alfini, Jeffrey Shaman and Charles Geyh).

JOHN BROWN'S SPY: THE ADVENTUROUS LIFE AND TRAGIC CONFESSION OF JOHN E. COOK. Yale University Press (2012)

FUGITIVE JUSTICE: RUNAWAYS, RESCUERS, AND SLAVERY ON TRIAL. Harvard University Press (2010).

THE IMPORTANCE OF BEING HONEST: HOW LYING, SECRECY, AND HYPOCRISY COLLIDE WITH TRUTH IN LAW. New York University Press (2008).

LAWYERS' POKER: 52 LESSONS THAT LAWYERS CAN LEARN FROM CARD PLAYERS. Oxford University Press (2006).

MURDER IN TOMBSTONE: THE FORGOTTEN TRIAL OF WYATT EARP. Yale University Press (2004).

NOTHING BUT THE TRUTH: WHY TRIAL LAWYERS DON'T, CAN'T, AND SHOULDN'T HAVE TO TELL THE WHOLE TRUTH. New York University Press (2001).

MODERN TRIAL ADVOCACY: LAW SCHOOL EDITION. National Institute for Trial Advocacy (4<sup>th</sup> Edition, 2016)(with J.C. Lore).

MODERN TRIAL ADVOCACY: ANALYSIS AND PRACTICE. National Institute for Trial Advocacy (5<sup>th</sup> Edition, 2015)(with J.C. Lore).

MODERN TRIAL ADVOCACY: CANADA. National Institute for Trial Advocacy (3<sup>rd</sup> Edition, 2010)(with Sheila Block and Cynthia Tape).

EXPERT TESTIMONY: A GUIDE FOR EXPERT WITNESSES AND THE LAWYERS WHO EXAMINE THEM. National Institute for Trial Advocacy (3<sup>rd</sup> Edition, 2014)(with Elizabeth Boals).

MOCK TRIALS: PLANNING, PRESENTING, AND WINNING YOUR CASE. National Institute for Trial Advocacy (2<sup>nd</sup> Edition, 2014)(with Jill Koster).

MODERN TRIAL ADVOCACY: REPUBLIC OF CHINA (Taiwan). Cite Publishing House, Taipei (Traditional Characters)(2002).

EVIDENCE IN CONTEXT: A TRIAL EVIDENCE WORKBOOK. National Institute for Trial Advocacy (1998)(with Robert Burns and James Seckinger).

ARBITRATION ADVOCACY. National Institute for Trial Advocacy (1997)(with John Cooley); ADVOCACIA DE ARBITRAGEM, Editora Universidade de Brasilia (Portuguese edition, 2001).

OMANUT HAPRACLITUT (THE ART OF ADVOCACY). Nevo Publishing, Jerusalem (volume one 1996; volume two 2001) (with Yosef Ben-Or and Yonatan Misheiker).

PROBLEMS AND MATERIALS IN EVIDENCE AND TRIAL ADVOCACY. National Institute for Trial Advocacy (2d Edition 2001) (with Robert Burns).

EXERCISES AND PROBLEMS IN PROFESSIONAL RESPONSIBILITY. National Institute for Trial Advocacy (2d Edition 2001) (with Robert Burns and Thomas Geraghty).

CRANBROOKE V. INTELLEX: PROBLEMS AND CASE FILE. National Institute for Trial Advocacy (2d edition, 2009) (with Robert Burns and others).

ADVANCED DEPOSITION PRACTICE: DAMAGES AND EXPERT TESTIMONY. National Institute for Trial Advocacy (1991).

VENDING OPERATOR, INC. V. NITA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION: PROBLEMS AND CASE FILE. National Institute for Trial Advocacy (Second Edition, 1993).

FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE BY JUDGES: FUNCTIONAL ANALYSIS AND CRITIQUE. American Judicature Society (1989) (with Judith Rosenbaum).

BEYOND REPROACH: ETHICAL RESTRICTIONS ON THE EXTRAJUDICIAL ACTIVITIES OF STATE AND FEDERAL JUDGES. American Judicature Society (1984).

CHUTZPAH: A JEWISH LIBERATION ANTHOLOGY. New Glide Press (1977)(ed. with Jeffrey Mallow and others).

## Articles, Essays, and Chapters

- “Anti-Semitic Faculty: What Is to Be Done?” *Jewish Currents*, January 10, 2018.
- “Is a Dubious ‘Brain Health Quiz Stoking Alzheimer’s Anxiety to Lure Patients?’” *Undark Magazine*, August 18, 2017; “This Online Quiz Is More of a Scam than a Service,” *Slate*, August 22, 2017.
- “Burning with Suspense,” *The New Rambler Review* (August 14, 2017).
- “Law Review vs. Peer Review: A Qualified Defense of Student Editors,” 2017 *University of Illinois Law Review Online* 1 (July 26, 2017).
- “Politics and the English Editor,” *The New Rambler Review*, June 12, 2017.
- “Defense of PACE Trial Is Based on Argumentation Fallacies,” *Journal of Health Psychology* (June, 2017).
- “Investigator Bias and the PACE Trial,” *Journal of Health Psychology* (March, 2017).
- “Langston Hughes’s Shawl: Our Objects Tell Amazing Stories, Not All of Them True,” *Humanities* (Summer 2016)(with Rachel Maines).
- “Strength in ‘Oberlitionism,’” *Slate*, September 22, 2015.
- “Ethnography on Trial,” *The New Republic*, July 15, 2015.
- “Ethics On The Run,” *The New Rambler*, May 26, 2015.
- “Stupid Jury Questions?” 37 *American Journal of Trial Advocacy* 315 (2014)(with Kevin Chang)
- “Execution in Virginia, 1859: The Trials of Copeland and Green,” 91 *North Carolina Law Review* 1785 (2013)
- “Stonewalling, Leaks, and Counter-Leaks: SCOTUS Ethics in the Wake of *NFIB v. Sebelius*,” 47 *Valparaiso Law Review* 883 (2013) (with Clare Diegel)
- “Clarence Darrow, Neuroscientist: What Trial Lawyers Can Learn from Decision Science,” 36 *American Journal of Trial Advocacy* 61 (2012) (with Sara Whitaker)
- “Escape from Harper’s Ferry,” *North & South* (September 2012)

“The Oberlin Fugitive Slave Rescue: A Victory for the Higher Law,” *North & South* (April 2011)

“Why the Dreyfus Affair Does and Doesn’t Matter,” 13 *Green Bag 2d* 329 (2010)

“It Takes a Court,” 60 *Syracuse Law Review* 221 (2010).

“Trial Advocacy,” in *Child Welfare Law and Practice*, edited by Donald Duquette & Ann Haralambie (Bradford Press 2010)(with John Myers).

“Ducks Redux,” *Judicature* (March, 2008).

Judicial Campaign Speech and the Third Law of Motion,” 22 *Notre Dame Journal of Law, Ethics, and Public Policy* 425 (2008).

"In Facetiis Veritatis: How Improv Comedy Can Help Lawyers Get Some Chops," 7 *Texas Review of Entertainment and Sports Law* 1 (with Thomas Hankinson)(2006).

“The Inciting Incident,” *Litigation*, Fall 2006.

“Questioning Ethics,” *Yale Law Journal Online* (January 2006).

“Tilt,” 58 *Maine Law Review* 129 (2006).

“The Triage Trilemma,”<sup>34</sup> *Hofstra Law Review* 673 (2006).

“Politics and Poker,” *American Heritage* (November/December 2006).

“False Flats,” 19 *Georgetown Journal of Legal Ethics* 275 (2006).

“Trial Theory and Blind Poetics,” 100 *Northwestern University Law Review* 295 (2006).

“Celebrity Trials.” *American Heritage* (October 2005).

“The Game is Lawyers’ Poker,” *Litigation*, Fall 2005, p. 59.

“How Cross Examination Really Won the West,” *Litigation*, Winter 2005, page 51.

“The Importance of Being Honest,” 8 *The Green Bag 2d* 163 (2005).

“Like a Surgeon,” 88 *Cornell Law Review* 1178 (2003).

“Slavery on Trial: The Case of the Oberlin Rescuers,” 54 *Alabama Law Review* 785 - 829 (2003).

“Showing Your Hand: A Counter-Intuitive Strategy for Deposition Defense,” *Litigation* Winter 2003, page 38.

“Lawyers’ Poker,” 57 *University of Miami Law Review* 283 (2003).

“Division of Authority between Attorney and Client: The Case of the Benevolent Otolaryngologist,” 2003 *University of Illinois Law Review* 1275 (with Robert Burns).

“Poker Courtroom: What Litigators Can Learn from Card Players,” 6 *The Green Bag 2d* 203 (2003).

“Document Destruction after Enron: Is It Still Housekeeping or Is It a Crime?” 4 *Journal of Appellate Practice and Process* 323 (2002).

“Rethinking Deposition Defense: The Case for Strategic Disclosure,” 26 *American Journal of Trial Advocacy* 13 (2002).

“Political Activities of Supreme Court Spouses,” 18 *Virginia Journal of Law and Politics* 635 (2002).

“Judicial Campaign Conduct Committees: Some Reservations about an Elegant Solution,” 35 *Indiana Law Review* 807 (2002).

“Judicial Elections and Free Speech: Ethics and a Judge’s Campaign Rhetoric,” 33 *Toledo Law Review* 322 (2002).

“So Perish All Enemies of the Union,” 28 *Litigation* 51 (Issue 2, 2002).

“When Free Speech and Ethical Standards Collide,” *Engage*, Spring 2002.

“Slap Leather! Legal Culture, Wild Bill Hickock, and the Gunslinger Myth,” 48 *UCLA Law Review* 1545 (2001).

“Bullying From the Bench,” 5 *The Green Bag 2d* 11 (2001).

“Story Framing,” 74 *Temple Law Review* 59 (2001).

“Free Speech and Judicial Neutrality: A Reply to Monroe Freedman,” *Court Review*, Winter 2001, page 6.

“Storytelling and Trials: Playing the ‘Race Card’ in Nineteenth Century Italy,” 31 *Stetson Law Review* 49 (2001).

“The Forgotten Trial of Wyatt Earp,” 72 *Colorado Law Review* 1 (2001).

“John Brown’s Trial,” 52 *Alabama Law Review* 425 (2001).

“Should Wyatt Earp Have Been Hanged?” *True West Magazine*, February 2001, page 50.

“On Attention Span: Comment on Marianne Wesson’s ‘A Novelist’s Perspective,’” 50 *DePaul Law Review* 633 (2001).

“The Man Who Shot Liberty Valance: Truth or Justice in the Old West,” 48 *UCLA Law Review* 353 (2000).

“Stupid Judge Tricks,” 41 *South Texas Law Review* 1301 (2000).

“Murder In The Streets Of Tombstone: A Legendary Theory Of The Case,” 27 *Litigation* 35 (No. 1, 2000).

“On Judge Posner and the Perils of Commenting on Pending or Impending Proceedings,” *Court Review*, Summer 2000, page 4.

“When Is an Investigation Merely an Investigation? A Response to Posner,” *Court*



*Review*, Summer 2000, page 7.

“Intimations Of Contemporary Law, Politics, And Culture In The Early Oeuvre Of Robert A. Zimmerman: The Dylan Code,” 3 *The Green Bag* 2d 459 (2000)(with Alex J. Lubet).

“A Life Well Lived,” 13 *Georgetown Journal of Legal Ethics* 575 (2000).

“The Kidnapping of Edgardo Mortara: Contemporary Lessons for the Child Custody Wars,” 45 *Villanova Law Review* 245 (2000)(with Bruce Boyer).

“Rumpled Truth On Trial,” 94 *Northwestern University Law Review* 627 (2000).

“Conflict Of Interest at The O.K. Corral,” 3 *The Green Bag* 2d 141 (2000).

“Reply to Comments on ‘Reconstructing Atticus Finch’,” 97 *Michigan Law Review* 1382 (1999).

“Reconstructing Atticus Finch,” 97 *Michigan Law Review* 1339 (1999).

“Expert Witnesses: Ethics and Professionalism,” 12 *Georgetown Journal of Legal Ethics* 465 (1999).

“Expert Testimony: A Survivor’s Guide to Depositions,” *The Journal of Legal Nurse Consulting*, October 1999, page 8.

“There Are No Scriveners Here,” 84 *Iowa Law Review* 341 (1999); reprinted 43 *Trial Lawyer’s Guide* 66 (2000).

“Storytelling in the Caribbean,” 2 *The Green Bag* 2d 239 (1999).

“Judicial Kidnaping, Then and Now: The Case of Edgardo Mortara,” 93 *Northwestern University Law Review* 961 (1999).

“Decalogue Divisiveness,” *Church & State*, May 1999, page 13.

“Moral Adventures in Narrative Lawyering,” 2 *The Green Bag* 2d 145 (1999).

“Expert Testimony: A Survivor’s Guide to Cross Examination,” *Court Call*, Winter 1999,

page 1.

“Lubet Replies to Edelman: Mistakes? What Mistakes?” 93 *Northwestern University Law Review* 347 (1998).

“Accountability and Judicial Independence,” 61 *Law & Contemporary Problems* 59 (1998).

“The Ten Commandments in Alabama, 15 *Constitutional Commentary* 471 (1998).

“A Tour of the Calculus of Justice,” 92 *Northwestern University Law Review* 1035 (1998).

“Persuasion at Trial,” 21 *American Journal of Trial Advocacy* 325 (1998).

“A ‘Public Assets’ Theory of Lawyers’ *Pro Bono* Obligations,” 145 *University of Pennsylvania Law Review* 1245 (1997)(with Cathryn Stewart).

“Is Legal Theory Good for Anything?” 1997 *University of Illinois Law Review* 193.

“Reply to Critics of ‘Into Evidence,’” 91 *Northwestern University Law Review* 662 (1997).

"Duke Yer Rabbi," 107 *Ethics* 203 (1997).

“Judicial Independence and Independent Judges,” 25 *Hofstra Law Review* 745 (1997).

“Bulls,” 7 *Pretrial Practice & Discovery* 18 (Fall 1997).

“Judicial Conduct: Speech and Consequences,” 4 *The Long Term View* 71 (1997).

“Malpractice Alert: No Conflict, but a Conflict of Interest,” *Business Law Today*, January-February 1997, page 30; reprinted 1997 *Best of ABA Sections* 6.

"Notes on the Bedouin Horse Trade or Why Won't the Market Clear, Daddy," 74 *Texas Law Review* 1039 (1996).

"Disqualification of Supreme Court Justices: The Certiorari Conundrum," 80 *Minnesota Law Review* 657 (1996).

"Lessons From Petticoat Lane," 75 *Nebraska Law Review* 916 (1996).

"Into Evidence," 81 *Cornell Law Review* 154 (1995).

"The Rush to Remedies: Some Conceptual Questions About Nonrefundable Retainers," 73 *North Carolina Law Review* 271 (1994).

"That's Funny, You Don't Look Like You Control the Government," 45 *Hastings Law Journal* 1527 (1994).

"Ethics and Theory Choice in Advocacy Education," 44 *Journal of Legal Education* 81 (1994).

"Expert Testimony," 17 *American Journal of Trial Advocacy* 399 (1993).

"Professionalism Revisited," 42 *Emory Law Journal* 197 (1993).

"Eight Techniques for Direct Examination of Experts," *Trial*, December 1993, p. 16.

"Ethics Rules and the Third Law of Motion," 1993 *Wisconsin Law Review* 1435.

"The Opening Moment," 34 *South Texas Law Review* 109 (1993); reprinted 43 *Defense Law Journal* 1 (1994).

"Civility: A Tale of Deconstruction and Constraint," 1992 *Wisconsin Law Review* 157.

"Objecting," 16 *American Journal of Trial Advocacy* 213 (1992).

"Reasserting Control in Cross Examination," 18 *Litigation* 24 (No. 4, 1992); reprinted in *The Litigation Manual: Trial* at 414 (Koeltl and Kiernan, eds. 1999).

"Understanding Impeachment," 15 *American Journal of Trial Advocacy* 483 (1992).

"Advocacy Education: The Case for Structural Knowledge," 66 *Notre Dame Law Review* 721 (1991).

"The Political Offense Exception: Developing Trends at the Multinational Level," 62 *International Revue of Penal Law (Revue Internationale de Droit Penal)* 103 (1991).

"Cross Examination: Endgame." 17 *Litigation* 40 (No. 2, 1991).

"The Trial as a Persuasive Story," 14 *American Journal of Trial Advocacy* 77 (1990).

"American Law Schools and Closed Minds, 75 *Cornell Law Review* 949 (1990).

"Advice and Consent: Questions and Answers," 84 *Northwestern University Law Review* 879 (1990).

"Ex Parte Communication: An Issue in Judicial Conduct," 74 *Judicature* 96 (1990).

"Confirmation Ethics: President Reagan's Nominees to the United States Supreme Court," 13 *Harvard Journal of Law and Public Policy* 229 (1990).

"The 1990 Code of Judicial Conduct: An Overview," 74 *Judicature* 21 (1990) (with Jeffrey Shaman and James Alfini).

"Prospects for Implementation of the Genocide Convention Under United States Law," 93 *Proceedings of the American Society of International Law* 323 (1989).

"A Theory of University Climate," 39 *Journal of Legal Education* 51 (1989).

"Some Early Observations on an Experiment with Mandatory Mediation," 4 *Ohio State Journal of Dispute Resolution* 235 (1989).

"Professor Polonius Advises Judge Laertes: Rules, Good Taste, and the Scope of Public Comment," 2 *Georgetown Journal of Legal Ethics* 665 (1989).

"Extradition Unbound: A Reply to Professors Bassiouni and Blakesley," 24 *Texas International Law Journal* 47 (1989).

"Simulation: The Other Side of Clinical Teaching," Fall 1989 *The Northwestern Reporter* 15 (with Robert Burns).

"Judges' Business and Financial Activities: Passive Investment," 10/4 *Judicial Conduct Reporter* 1 (1989).

"Judges' Business and Financial Activities: Business Involvement and Active

Management," 11/1 *Judicial Conduct Reporter* 1 (1989).

"International Criminal Law and the 'Ice-Nine' Error: A Discourse on the Fallacy of Universal Solutions," 28 *Virginia Journal of International Law* 963 (1988).

"Financial Disclosure by Judges: Functional Analysis and Critique," 40 *University of Florida Law Review* 241 (1988) (with Judith Rosenbaum).

"Regulation of Judges' Business and Financial Activities," 37 *Emory Law Journal* 1 (1988).

"Judicial Impropriety and Reversible Error," 3 *Criminal Justice* 26 (1988).

"I Teach Legal Ethics," 13 *Journal of the Legal Profession* 133 (1988).

"Taking the Terror Out of Political Terrorism: The Supplemental Treaty of Extradition Between the United States and the United Kingdom," 19 *Connecticut Law Review* 863 (1987).

"The Search for Analysis in Judicial Ethics or Easy Cases Don't Make Much Law," 66 *Nebraska Law Review* 430 (1987).

"What We Should Teach (But Don't) When We Teach Trial Advocacy," 37 *Journal of Legal Education* 123 (1987).

"Judicial Impropriety: Love, Friendship, Free Speech, and Other Intemperate Conduct," 1986 *Arizona State Law Journal* 379 (1986).

"Extradition of Nazis From the United States to Israel: A Survey of Issues in Transnational Criminal Law," 22 *Stanford International Law Journal* 1 (1986) (with Jan Stern Reed).

"When Good People Do Good Things: The Ethical Dimension of Judicial Involvement in Victim Assistance Programs," 69 *Judicature* 199 (1986).

"Judicial Ethics and Private Lives," 80 *Northwestern University Law Review* 301 (1985).

"Participation by Judges in Civic and Charitable Activities: What Are the Limits?" 69 *Judicature* 68 (1985).

"Extradition Reform: Executive Discretion and Judicial Participation in the Rendition of Political Terrorists," 15 *Cornell International Law Journal* 247 (1982).

"The Role of the American Judiciary in the Extradition of Political Terrorists," 71 *Journal of Criminal Law and Criminology* 193 (1980) (with Morris Czaczkes).

"Eyewitness Identification in War Crimes Trials," 2 *Cardozo Law Review* 71 (1980) (with Debra Nesselson).

"Trial Preparation -- A Systematic Approach, 1 *American Journal of Trial Advocacy*," 229 (1978) (with Mark K. Schoenfield). Reprinted, 12 *Trial Lawyers Quarterly* 16 (1978), and 10 *American Journal of Trial Advocacy* 1 (1987).

### **Opinion, Short Reviews, and Humor**

"How a Turf War at Fresno State Turned into an Anti-Zionist Witch Hunt, *The Forward*, February 14, 2018.

"How Do We Curb Racism and Anti-Semitism – and Protect Free Speech?" *Chicago Tribune*, January 15, 2018.

"Can Meghan Markle Ace England's Arcane Citizenship Test?" *Chicago Tribune*, December 7, 2017.

"The Surprising New BMJ Best Practice Guide," *Virology.ws*, November 13, 2017 (with David Tuller).

"The First Thing We Do, Let's Blame All the Lawyers," *Chicago Tribune*, October 23, 2017.

"Why Neo-Confederate Claims about Black Slaveholders Are So Misleading," *Tropics of Meta*, September 7, 2017.

"Why Trump Is Wrong to Equate George Washington with Robert E. Lee," *Chicago Tribune*, August 21, 2017 (with Alfred Brophy).

"How Much Longer Can Decent People Serve in Trump's Cabinet?" *CNN.com*, August 16, 2017.

"Steven Salaita's Exile from Academia," *Chicago Tribune*, July 28, 2017.

"Questions about Prof. Sharpe's 'Special Ethics Seminar,'" *Virology.ws*, July 3, 2017.

“Fresno State Professors Must Stop Pushing Anti-Israel Conspiracy Theories,” *The Forward*, June 20, 2017.

“Justice Gorsuch Can Forget about Ethics Code for Court Now,” *CNN.com*, April 15, 2017.

“How a Study about Chronic Fatigue Syndrome Was Doctored, Adding to Pain and Stigma,” *The Conversation*, March 22, 2017; *San Francisco Chronicle*, March 23, 2017; *Houston Chronicle*, March 23, 2017.

“Alito and the Code,” *Slate*, March 14, 2017.

“In Defense of Kellyanne Conway,” *Slate*, February 27, 2017; “Free Kellyanne Conway from Misguided Law Professors,” *Chicago Tribune*, March 3, 2017.

“Does Jason Chaffetz Understand His Job?” *CNN.com*, February 11, 2017 (with Andrew Koppelman).

Review of *The Parker Sisters: A Border Kidnapping*, by Lucy Maddox. *American Historical Review* (February, 2017).

“Why This Lifelong White Sox Fan Will Root for the Cubs,” *Chicago Tribune*, October 25, 2016.

“In Defense of Judge Turner (sort of),” *Chicago Tribune*, August 23, 2016.

“Court Rightly Embraced a ‘Living’ Constitution in Death Penalty Case,” *The National Law Journal*, June 27, 2016.

“Why Isn’t Trump Using the Law to Back Up His Mouth?” *The New Republic*, June 6, 2016 (with Charles Gardner Geyh).

“Bernie or Bust? The Lesson of 1968,” *CNN.com*, May 24, 2016 (with Jim Bendat).

“What Would Nino Do with Garland’s Nomination?” *National Law Journal*, May 7, 2016 (with Charles Gardner Geyh).

“Here’s Betting That a Computer Can’t Play Poker,” *Chicago Tribune*, April 7, 2016.

“Move Forward on Filling Scalia’s Supreme Court Seat,” *Chicago Tribune*, February 16, 2016.

“Ted Cruz Must Recuse Himself on Scalia Replacement,” *CNN.com*, February 15, 2016.

“Ben Carson, a Paternity Case and the Death Penalty,” *Chicago Tribune*, November 13, 2015.

“It’s Time, U of I; Offer Controversial Scholar Steven Salaita a Job,” *Chicago Tribune*, August 11, 2015.

“I Sued the Grateful Dead,” *Slate*, June 4, 2015.

“Alice Goffman’s Denial of Murder Conspiracy Raises Even More Questions,” *The New Republic*, June 3, 2015.

“Did This Acclaimed Sociologist Drive the Getaway Car in a Murder Plot?” *The New Republic*, May 27, 2015.

“Pamela Geller Is Not ‘Morally Responsible’ for the Terrorist Attack in Texas,” *The New Republic*, May 7, 2015.

“Let Us Pour Some Cold Water on That California Sunshine,” *Chicago Tribune*, April 6, 2015.

“Respecting Palestinians or Demonizing Israel,” *Chicago Tribune*, January 29, 2015.

“Scalia’s Torture Debacle,” *Salon*, December 16, 2014.

“Priest’s Letter Blamed Israel for Treatment of Jews,” *Hartford Courant*, September 21, 2014.

“The Hateful Nature of a Scholar’s Tweets,” *Chicago Tribune*, August 14, 2014.

“GOP’s Most Shameful Shutdown Moment,” *Salon*, October 1, 2013.

“Ted Cruz’s Origins Continue to Haunt Him,” *Salon*, September 20, 2013.

“Ted Cruz’s Ironic Birther Predicament,” *Salon*, August 22, 2013.

“What if We Demanded Ted Cruz’s Papers?” *Salon*, June 19, 2013.

Review of *Prigg v. Pennsylvania: Slavery, the Supreme Court, and the Ambivalent Constitution*, by H. Robert Baker. *Journal of the Civil War Era*, September, 2013.

Review of *Antislavery and Abolition in Philadelphia: Emancipation and the Long Struggle for Racial Justice in the City of Brotherly Love*, edited by Richard Newman and James Mueller. *Journal of the Early Republic*, Spring 2013.



Review of *Abraham Lincoln, Esq.: The Legal Career of American's Greatest President*, edited by Roger Billings and Frank J. Williams. *Journal of Southern History*, February, 2012.

"Haley Barbour, Slavery, and the Citizenship Test," *Salon*, March 29, 2011.

"Remembering the Roots of a Real Civil War," *Salon*, October 16, 2009.

"Sitting Across the Table from an Archenemy," *Chicago Tribune*, September 30, 2008;  
"Preconditions for Negotiation? Not for Lincoln," *Detroit Free Press*, September 29, 2008.

"On Israel, Obama Has Taken Stand," *Chicago Tribune*, March 5, 2008.

"Could President Hillary Appoint Justice Bill?" *Chicago Sun-Times*, December 23, 2007.

"Cutting Choices," *The American Lawyer*, November 2007.

"The Next Attorney General? How about Our Man from Northwestern?" *Chicago Sun-Times*, September 2, 2007 (with Andrew Koppelman).

"The Disreputer," *The American Lawyer*, September 2007; "A First-Rate Drama Judge," *San Francisco Recorder*, August 31, 2007; "Hey, Your Honors, Listen Up!" *Legal Times*, October 1, 2007.

"Welcome to Obidas!" *New York Times*, July 23, 2007; "Meanwhile, Welcome to Obidas!" *International Herald-Tribune*, July 23, 2007.

"Bare Facts," *The American Lawyer*, July 2007; "Law Students Gone Wild," *Legal Times*, July 16, 2007; "Law Students Gone Wild," *San Francisco Recorder*, July 20, 2007; "Law Students Gone Wild: Should They Be Disqualified From Bar Admission," *Legal Intelligencer*, July 20, 2007; "Law Students Gone Wild," *Texas Lawyer*, August 8, 2007.

"Ant-size Loopholes Can Produce Big Problems," *Chicago Tribune*, June 11, 2007.

"Above It All," *The American Lawyer*, May 2007; "Shut Up! No, You Shut Up!" *Legal Times*, June 18, 2007; "Say Goodnight to the Bad Guy," *San Francisco Recorder*, June

22, 2007.

“Do We Need to See Her Face?” *Legal Times*, April 2, 2007; “Not a Coverup,” *San Francisco Recorder*, April 6, 2007; “Veiled Truth,” *The American Lawyer*, March 2007; “When Does a Muslim Veil Become a Poker Face?” *Chicago Sun-Times*, March 11, 2007.

“Flag Protesters Missing a Point,” *Chicago Tribune*, March 23, 2007.

“I Made the Government Admit It Was Wrong,” *Salon*, February 21, 2007.

“The Citizenship Test: New, Improved, and Wrong,” *Salon*, January 2, 2007.

“Stewart’s Sanctimony,” *The American Lawyer*, January 2007; “Nothing ‘Chilling’ Here, Folks: Why Lynne Stewart Doesn’t Deserve Our Sympathy This Time,” *Legal Times*, January 1, 2007; “Shed No Tears for Stewart,” *San Francisco Recorder*, January 5, 2007.

“Forget Beginner’s Luck,” *Chicago Daily Law Bulletin*, December 11, 2006.

“People for Pluto,” *The American Lawyer*, November 2006; “We Can’t Vote on Science,” *Legal Times*, November 27, 2006.

“Why Judges Acquit,” *The American Lawyer*, September 2006; “Who Wants a Jury Trial,” *Legal Times*, September 11, 2006; “Who Wants a Jury Trial?” *San Francisco Recorder*, September 15, 2006.

“Wrong Lessons,” *Philadelphia Inquirer*, August 28, 2006 (with Jeffrey Mallow); “Use Pluto’s Dwarf Status to Think Big,” *Newsday*, August 28, 2006; “Kids’ Lobbying for Pluto Sends Wrong Message on Science,” *Chicago Sun-Times*, August 27, 2006; “Teachers Shouldn’t Rally Their Students behind Pluto’s Cause,” *Minneapolis Star Tribune*, August 31, 2006.

“Pitting Secular Law and ‘Higher Law’ Woman Misuses Sanctuary Tradition,” *Chicago Tribune*, August 22, 2006.

“Thou Shalt Not . . .” *The American Lawyer*, July 2006; “Our Sacrifice to Themis,” *Legal Times*, July 17, 2006.

“Know When to Fold,” *Corporate Counsel Magazine*, July 2006.

“WBEZ Has Air Time to Fill? Tune in on the Canadians.” *Chicago Sun-Times*, May 15, 2006, page 39.

“Lawyers’ Poker: Practicing Law Is a Lot Like Playing Cards,” *The American Lawyer*, May 2006; “Card Sharks,” *Legal Times*, May 8, 2006; “Success Is in the Cards for Some Lawyers,” *Law.com*, May 15, 2006.

“McKinney v. Capitol Police: Who’ll Blink First?” *Chicago Tribune*, April 5, 2006; “Rep. McKinney Uses Poker Strategy As Defense,” *Memphis Commercial Appeal*, April 5, 2006.

“Cougar Spotting,” *The American Lawyer*, March 2006; “Cougar Spotting,” *San Francisco Recorder*, March 3, 2006.

“On Alito: Oh, Those Woebegone Democrats,” *Chicago Tribune*, February 1, 2006; “The Alito Confirmation; How Democrats Lost the Political Battle,” *San Diego Union Tribune*, February 1, 2006.

“Making the Call,” *The American Lawyer*, January 2006; “The Justice Needs Glasses,” *Legal Times*, January 9, 2006.

“A Man of Integrity,” *Chicago Tribune*, November 18, 2005 (with David McGowan); “Judicial Temperament,” *Baltimore Sun*, November 28, 2005.

“A Chicago Story,” *The American Lawyer*, November 2005; “They Lived Happily Ever After,” *Legal Times*, November 14, 2005.

“Roberts’ Bad Decision,” *Los Angeles Times*, September 13, 2005 (with Stephen Gillers and David Luban); “Decision Raises Ethical Issue,” *Miami Herald*, September 17, 2005.

“Godly vs. Secular,” *The American Lawyer*, September 2005; “Godly vs. Secular: The Scopes Trial,” *San Francisco Recorder*, September 2, 2005.

“Improper Advances: Talking Dream Jobs with The Judge Out Of Court,” *Slate*, August 17, 2005 (with Stephen Gillers and David Luban).

“Reinventing the Slippery Slope – For Karl,” *Chicago Tribune*, July 28, 2005.

“Independent, Yes. Moderate, Not Really.” *Chicago Tribune*, July 3, 2005.

“Ethical Culture,” *The American Lawyer*, July 2005.

“Faith, Hope, and Lawyering,” *The American Lawyer*, May 2005; “Faith, Hope, and Lawyering,” *San Francisco Recorder*, May 6, 2005.

“Misplaying the Filibuster,” *Boston Globe*, April 26, 2005; “Democrats Misplay Cards in High Stakes Filibuster Fight,” *Baltimore Sun*, April 29, 2005; “The Politics of Blinking First,” *Chicago Tribune*, April 24, 2005; “The Nuclear Option: With a Hand Like This, Dems Need to Lower the Stakes,” *San Francisco Chronicle*, April 26, 2005.

“Cops on Trial: Why Murder Cases Fail,” *National Law Journal*, April 25, 2005.

“Artificial Intelligence,” *The American Lawyer*, March 2005; “Law School Exams Promote Hasty Solo Thinking, Rather than Diligent Teamwork,” *Legal Times*, March 21, 2005.

“Why Dems Should Fight Hard For The Arnold Amendment,” *Chicago Tribune*, February 23, 2005; “Three Reasons To Join The ‘Arnold Amendment’ Bandwagon,” *Philadelphia Inquirer*, February 25, 2005.

“A Liberal Case For Chief Justice Scalia,” *Newsday*, January 3, 2005; “Three Reasons Liberals Should Back Scalia For Chief Justice,” *Chicago Sun-Times*, January 28, 2005.

“Hasta La Vista, Electors,” *The American Lawyer*, January 2005.

“Leftists at Home on Campus,” *Tampa Tribune*, January 10, 2005; “Discrimination Against Conservatives In Academia? Hardly,” *Pittsburgh Tribune Review*, January 9, 2005; “Where Is A Republican’s Place in Academia,” *Gainesville Sun*, January 6, 2005; “Liberalism At Universities Is Not Some Nefarious Plot,” *Capital Times* (Madison, Wisconsin), January 6, 2005; “No Sinister Liberal Scheme On Campus,” *Baltimore Sun*, December 29, 2004; “Conservatives Complain About Campus Shut-Outs,” *Detroit Free Press*, December 21, 2004; “Campus Diversity: No Conspiracies Behind Faculties’ Lean To The Left,” *Atlanta Journal Constitution*, December 7, 2004; “Why Republicans Shun Ivory Towers,” *San Francisco Chronicle*, December 2, 2004; “Nothing Sinister About Liberal Campuses,” *Minneapolis Star Tribune*, December 1, 2004; “Does It Take Liberal Thoughts In Order To Teach At University Level,” *Chicago Tribune*, November 30,

2004.

“The Clinton Miscalculus: If The President Had Trusted His Lawyer, History Might Be Different,” *The American Lawyer*, November 2004.

“Why Historical Ignorance Is Cause for Alarm,” *Chicago Tribune*, October 12, 2004.

“Marshal Law,” *The American Lawyer*, October 2004.

“Dispiriting the Law,” *The American Lawyer*, August 2004; “The Money We Don’t Earn,” *Legal Times*, August 2, 2004; “Railroaded,” *San Francisco Recorder*, August 6, 2004; “Exorbitant Fees, for Precious Little Work, Give Justice a Bad Name,” *Chicago Tribune*, August 8, 2004.

“Lack of Regard Shown for Israel’s Security Concerns,” *Chicago Tribune*, July 13, 2004; “Judgment on the Wall: Court Ignores Israel’s Security,” *San Francisco Chronicle*, July 14, 2004; “In Ruling on Barrier, the U.N. International Court Ignores the Basic Need of Security,” *Detroit Free Press*, July 13, 2004.

“Flagging Responses,” *The American Legion Magazine*, July 2004.

“When Judges Investigate Judges,” *Chicago Tribune*, June 3, 2004, page 23; “An Examination of Judicial Conduct,” *San Diego Union Tribune*, June 8, 2004, page B-7.

“Oyez, O Muse,” *The American Lawyer*, June 2004; “Martha Stewart’s Makeover,” *San Francisco Recorder*, June 4, 2004.

“Toward Purposeful Dissent: Liberal Professor Believes Flag Amendment Hurts No One,” *The American Legion Magazine*, June 2004, p. 40.

“Virtue Is Its Own Reward,” *The American Lawyer*, April 2004; “Virtue Is Its Own Reward,” *San Francisco Recorder*, April 2, 2004, page 4; “Ethicist Cannot Tell A Lie,” *Legal Times*, May 3, 2004.

“In One Key Area (The Chief) Justice Is Indeed Blind,” *Los Angeles Times*, March 19, 2004, page 15 (with Erwin Chemerinsky); “Supreme Conflicts of Interest,” *Philadelphia Inquirer*, March 23, 2004, page A15; “Chief Justice Is Blind to the Conflict of Interest,” *Houston Chronicle*, March 23, 2004.

“Perspectives on Same Sex Marriage: An Amendment that Would Freeze the Will of the People,” *San Francisco Chronicle*, February 10, 2004 (with Andrew Koppelman); “Amendment on Marriage? Ban Idea,” *Newsday*, February 2, 2004; “It’s Up to States to Lay Down Law on Gay Marriage,” *Chicago Sun-Times*, February 3, 2004; “Marriage Amendment Would Infringe on Power of States,” *Memphis Commercial Appeal*, February 12, 2004; “Ban on Gay Unions Would Deny Power Reserved to States,” *Newark Star-Ledger*, February 11, 2004; “Same Sex Marriage and the Constitution,” *San Diego Union Tribune*, February 6, 2004; “Bush’s Willful Disregard for the ‘Will of the People’,” *Houston Chronicle*, February 12, 2004, page 35; “Let the State Legislate,” *Portland Oregonian*, February 15, 2004, page C3;

“Flagging Responses: In Which the Writer Replies to His Readers about Patriotism, Liberalism, and Pragmatism,” *The American Lawyer*, February 2004; “Stop the Flames,” *Legal Times*, February 9, 2004.

“Justice Compromised,” *Baltimore Sun*, February 2, 2004, page 11A; “Hunting Buddies; This Supreme Court Justice Showed Poor Judgment,” *Dallas Morning News*, January 28, 2004; “When the Vacation Gets Tricky; Should Scalia and Cheney Have Gone Duck Hunting Together,” *Chicago Tribune*, January 27, 2004, page 17; “Scalia Can’t Duck Conflict Charge: Hunting Trip with Cheney Calls Impartiality into Question,” *Newark Star-Ledger*, February 4, 2004; “Justice Scalia Needs a New Hunting Partner,” *Akron Beacon Journal*, February 6, 2004; “Scalia’s Hunting Trip Didn’t Look Impartial,” *Memphis Commercial Appeal*, February 4, 2004; “Cheney’s Hunting Partner Needs Lesson in Neutrality,” *Duluth News Tribune*, February 6, 2004; “Scalia Should Not Sit on Cheney Energy Secrets Case,” *Concord Monitor*, February 4, 2004; “Too Chummy? Cheney-Scalia Duck Hunt Raises Questions,” *Kansas City Star*, February 4, 2004; “Was Cheney Aiming for More than Ducks,” *Minneapolis Star-Tribune*, February 3, 2004, page A15.

“Reversible Suits,” *The American Lawyer*, December 2003; “Is Medical Justice the Magic Key?” *Medical Malpractice Law & Strategy*, December 10, 2003, page 1;

“Reversible Suits,” *San Francisco Recorder*, December 19, 2003; “Medical Justice? Not Really,” *Miami Daily Business Review*, December 22, 2003, page 6.

“Making a Liberal Case for the Flag Protection Amendment,” *Chicago Tribune*, October 20, 2003, page 21.

“Picking Your Fights: The Liberal Case for Not Resisting the Ban on Flag Desecration,” *The American Lawyer*, October, 2003; “Picking Your Fights,” *San Francisco Recorder*, October 3, 2003; “Mine Eyes Have Seen the Glory; A Liberal’s View on Why an Amendment to Protect the American Flag Won’t Hurt Liberals,” *Legal Times*, October 27, 2003, page 94; “Closing Arguments: Mine Eyes Have Seen the Glory,” *Connecticut Law Tribune*, November 11, 2003.

“Effort to Save Alabama Judge from Himself Hurts Cause Even More,” *Detroit Free Press*, August 27, 2003; “Confusing Matters in the Ten Commandments Case,” *San Diego Union Tribune*, August 27, 2003.

“Judges Shouldn’t Be Engaging in Civil Disobedience,” *Dallas Morning News*, August 27, 2003; “Judge Has Provoked Legal Tussle,” *Newsday*, August 22, 2003, page A39; “The Ten Commandments Case; Law and Disorder,” *The Record*, August 26, 2003; “Defiance Endangers Rule of Law,” *Contra Costa Times*, August 31, 2003; “Alabama Chief Justice’s Defiance Endangers Rule of Law,” *The Capital Times & Wisconsin State Journal*, August 26, 2003; “Shades of George Wallace; Alabama Justice Endangers Rule of Law,” *Roanoke Times*, August 26, 2003; “In Alabama, A Threat to the Rule of Law,” *Minneapolis Star Tribune*, August 26, 2003, page 13A.

“Thou Shalt Not Be Meddlesome,” *Philadelphia Inquirer*, August 19, 2003; “Judge’s Defiance of Federal Court a Blow to the Rule of Law,” *Chicago Sun-Times*, August 13, 2003.

“It’s Not a Bad Script, It’s a Recall Election in California,” *Chicago Tribune*, August 8, 2003, page 19.

“Black Robe Politics,” *The American Lawyer*, July 2003; “Who’s the Real Winner? Elections for Judges May be Here to Stay, but Crass Campaign Promises Must Stop,”

*Legal Times*, August 11, 2003, page 52; “Pledges and Promises in Judicial Campaigns,” *Texas Lawyer*, August 18, 2003; “Beware of Politicians in Black Robes,” *Fulton County Daily Report*,” September 9, 2003.

“Affirmative Action Battle Has Just Begun,” *Baltimore Sun*, June 25, 2003; “Close Calls Ahead For Affirmative Action,” *Raleigh News & Observer*, June 25, 2003; “Michigan Ruling No Decisive Victory For Affirmative Action,” *Chicago Sun-Times*, June 25, 2003; “Affirmative Action Wins – Sort Of,” *Newsday*, June 24, 2003, page A23.

“When the Church Takes Advantage,” *Chicago Tribune*, May 27, 2003, page 19.

“My Lawyer Made Me Do It,” *The American Lawyer*, May, 2003.

“They’re a Breed Apart,” *Baltimore Sun*, April 27, 2003, page 5C; “Dog Tales,” *Newsday*, January 6, 2004, page A23.

“Why Our Federal Judges Deserve a Decent Pay Increase,” *Chicago Sun-Times*, April 21, 2003, page 45.

“Judging Pay,” *The American Lawyer*, March, 2003.

“Mush, You Huskies,” *WBEZ Radio*, February 25, 2003.

Bush’s Malpractice Reforms Won’t Cure Medical Errors,” *Dallas Morning News*, January 26, 2003; “Medical Malpractice at a Discount,” *Chicago Tribune*, January 22, 2003, page 19; “Bush Malpractice Plan Is Very Bad Medicine,” *Newsday*, January 24, 2003, page A39.

“Bush Shouldn’t Provoke a Fight Over Nominees,” *Dallas Morning News*, December 15, 2002; “Bush Court Picks: Right or ‘Right’?” *Newsday*, December 3, 2002, page A33; “A Way Out of Another Nasty Fight,” *Chicago Tribune*, December 3, 2002, page 27; “Poor Judgment: Bush Would Needlessly Alienate Democrats With Two Court Nominees,” *Newark Star-Ledger*, December 11, 2002; “Bush Should Yield on Justice Picks,” *The Record*, December 25, 2002; “Bush Shouldn’t Try to Revive Controversial Court



Nominees,” *St. Paul Pioneer Press*, December 16, 2002, page 11A.

“Which Hurt Their Dignity More, A Lawyer’s Rude Comment or the Judges’ Harsh Response?” *Legal Times*, December 2, 2002, page 35; “A Footnote Most Foul,” *The Recorder*, December 6, 2002.

“In Trouble for Criticizing Thin-Skinned Judges,” *Indianapolis Star*, November 27, 2002; “Free Speech Rights Don’t End in Courtroom,” *Chicago Sun-Times*, November 6, 2002.

“Divesting in Israel; Anti-Semitism Unleashed?” *Baltimore Sun*, October 18, 2002, page 19A; “Blaming Jews for All That’s Wrong with the World,” *Chicago Tribune*, October 20, 2002.

“Texas Justice Should Be Judged on Merits,” *Chicago Sun-Times*, August 28, 2002; “Sniping Fails to Study Judge’s Merits,” *Atlanta Journal-Constitution*, August 28, 2002.

“Hank Aaron and Me,” *Jewish Journal*, August 6, 2002, page 25.

“Air Space,” *Newsday*, July 8, 2002, page A22.

“Impartiality Lost When Justice Hits Campaign Trail,” *Baltimore Sun*, July 3, 2002; “Muzzle Views of Candidates for Judgeships,” *Atlanta Journal Constitution*, July 3, 2002, page A18; “Judges Shouldn’t Be Able to Tailor Rulings to Public,” *Dallas Morning News*, July 2, 2002; “Bad Policy Headed for Courtrooms,” *Chicago Tribune*, July 2, 2002, page 17; “And Now, Judicial Campaign Promises,” *San Diego Union Tribune*, June 28, 2002, page B11.

“Prosecuting Our Enemy Combatants,” *Chicago Tribune*, June 23, 2002, page 9.

“Law Protects Choice, Not Privacy,” *Newsday*, June 5, 2002, page A35.

“Where The Urban Gentry Dallies,” *WBEZ Radio*, May 20, 2002.

“There’s a Difference between Defense, Assist,” *Chicago Sun-Times*, May 1, 2002, page 45; “Attorneys, Too, Must Obey the Law,” *Newsday*, April 24, 2002, page A35.

“A Muslim Lawyer for Moussaoui,” *New York Times*, April 25, 2002, page A31; “A Muslim Lawyer for Moussaoui,” *Minneapolis Star-Tribune*, April 28, 2002, page 17A.

“A Test for ‘Peace Activists’,” *New York Post*, April 8, 2002; “The Evolving Definition Of ‘Peace Activist’,” *Chicago Tribune*, April 3, 2002, page 19.

“The Spouse Speaks: Preserving The Neutrality Of The Supreme Court Demands Prudence From the Justices – And Sometimes From Their Families,” *Legal Times*, April 1, 2002, page 46; “Virginia Thomas Is Using Her Husband’s Trials For Advancement,” *Fulton County Daily Report*, April 2, 2002; “Spousal Privilege,” *San Francisco Recorder*, April 3, 2002, page 4.

“Justice’s Spouse Should Be Circumspect, Not Partisan,” *Dallas Morning News*, March 20, 2002, page 27A; “Supreme Court Spouse Shatters All Boundaries Of Restraint,” *Detroit Free Press*, March 27, 2002, page 9A; “Did The Missus Go To Far?” *Chicago Tribune*, March 20, 2002, page 27.

“The Case against Pickering,” *Milwaukee Journal Sentinel*, March 11, 2002, page 9A; “Court Nominee Showed Unseemly Leniency To Cross Burner,” *Minneapolis Star-Tribune*, March 6, 2002; “Appeals Court Nominee Carries Cross For Bigots,” *Atlanta Journal Constitution*, March 1, 2002; “The Judge And The Cross Burner,” *Baltimore Sun*, February 28, 2002.

“‘Tort Reform’ Discounts Value Of Stolen Freedom,” *Baltimore Sun*, January 4, 2002, page 15A; “Why Tort ‘Reform’ Undercuts System,” *Dallas Morning News*, December 28, 2001; “Counting Up The Costs Of Tort Reform,” *Atlanta Journal Constitution*, December 24, 2001, page 12.; “Tort Reform’s Worthlessness,” *Chicago Tribune*, December 23, 2001, page 19.

“Elusive War Against Terrorism Isn’t Unprecedented,” *Newsday*, November 6, 2001, page A35; “Haven’t We Fought This Battle Before?” *Chicago Tribune*, November 4,

2001, page 21.

“Trial Rules Will Fail In War,” *Dallas Morning News*, October 9, 2001, page 17A; “War Offers Best Defense,” *Baltimore Sun*, October 9, 2001, page 21A; “When ‘Justice’ Means War,” *New York Post*, October 8, 2001; “Why Trials Are Not An Alternative To War,” *San Francisco Chronicle*, September 27, 2001; “Criminal Justice System Not Designed to Halt Terrorism,” *San Diego Union Tribune*, September 26, 2001, page B7; “This Calls For War,” *Chicago Tribune*, September 25, 2001, page 19; “Judiciary Can’t Fill In For Military,” *Atlanta Journal Constitution*, September 25, 2001.

“Just Who Made Federal Judges God,” *Chicago Tribune*, August 10, 2001, page 23; “There’s Nothing Funny About Judicial Thugs,” *Atlanta Journal Constitution*, August 8, 2001, page A15.

“Microsoft Case Opens Window of Fairness for All,” *Baltimore Sun*, July 5, 2001, page 11A; “The Microsoft Case and Judicial Ethics,” *San Diego Union Tribune*, July 3, 2001; “Saved – For the Moment – By a Technicality,” *Chicago Tribune*, July 3, 2001, page 15; “Reversal in Microsoft Case Is a Matter of Fairness,” *Philadelphia Inquirer*, July 1, 2001; “Microsoft Decision Spotlights A System’s Fairness,” *Newsday*, July 1, 2001, page B5.

"A Prosecutor's Complex Dual Role," *The National Law Journal*, June 25, 2001, page A20.

“High Court Presents Roadmap for Overturning Abortion Rights,” *Atlanta Journal Constitution*, May 18, 2001; “First Medical Marijuana, Next Abortion Rights,” *The Chicago Tribune*, May 17, 2001, page 27.

“What’s an Employee to Do?” *The National Law Journal*, March 19, 2001, page A32.

“It Takes A Plea Agreement: Clinton’s ‘Moment Of Truth’ A Meaningful Public Consequence,” *Chicago Tribune*, January 23, 2001, page 15; “Clinton’s Law License Was Key That Opened Door To Plea Deal,” *Atlanta Journal Constitution*, January 23, 2001; “Appropriate Punishment For Clinton,” *San Diego Union-Tribune*, January 23, 2001, page B-9; “Clinton Deal Was Right Thing To Do,” *Newsday*, January 21, 2001,

page B06.

“Would You Put All Of Your Faith In A Machine?” *The Chicago Tribune*, November 26, 2000, page 19; “Court Upholds Voters, Not Machines,” *Newsday*, November 24, 2000, page A57.

“A Safer Country Thanks To Lawyers,” *Detroit Free Press*, October 25, 2000; “Unlikely Heroes In Firestone Fiasco,” *San Francisco Chronicle*, October 13, 2000, page A25; “Credit Lawyers For Firestone,” *Baltimore Sun*, October 12, 2000, page 21A; “In The Firestone Case, Trial Lawyers Are The Real Heroes,” *San Diego Union Tribune*, October 11, 2000, page B7; “After The Firestone Debacle, Ya Gotta Love Lawyers,” *The Chicago Tribune*, October 6, 2000, page 25; “Greedy Lawyers’ Are Often The Public’s Allies,” *Newsday*, October 4, 2000, page A44.

“Running The Presidential Debate Like A Sporting Event,” *Morning Edition, National Public Radio*, October 9, 2000.

“Vetting The Veep Was Too Vital To Let Cheney Clear Himself,” *Newsday*, August 10, 2000, page A38.

“Hillary Bashing,” *The Chicago Tribune*, July 27, 2000, page 21.

“Alabama Judge Is Determined To Post Ten Commandments,” *The Chicago Tribune*, July 6, 2000, page 23.

“It Wasn’t Just Praying In Texas Case,” *Newsday*, June 26, 2000, page A23; “Judge’s Prayers Wrong,” *Atlanta Journal Constitution*, June 13, 2000.

“Court Ruling A Victory For Families And Law,” *Chicago Sun-Times*, June 5, 2000, page 37; “A Victory For Families And The Rule Of Law,” *The Houston Chronicle*, June 4, 2000, page 4; “Ruling Affirms Parental Rights Take Priority Over Politics,” *The Record*, June 4, 2000, page 5; “Ruling On Parental Primacy Draws Mixed Feelings,” *Portland Oregonian*, June 3, 2000; “A Ruling For The Primacy Of Parents,” *Newsday*, June 2, 2000, page A51.

"Let the Jury Decide . . . Except When There's Big Money at Stake," *Chicago Tribune*, May 26, 2000, page 23.

"I Always Figured That Ethics Would Come In Handy Some Day," *Morning Edition, National Public Radio*, May 8, 2000.

"Witch Hunt In A Public School," *San Francisco Chronicle*, April 16, 2000, page 9;  
"Thou Shalt Not Judge," *Chicago Tribune*, February 16, 2000, page 21; "Wicca Serves As Easy Target," *Atlanta Journal-Constitution*, February 15, 2000.

"Don't You Dare Fling Your Butts Outta the Window," *Morning Edition, National Public Radio*, April 14, 2000.

"Elian Case Mustn't Become Street Battle," *Newsday*, April 6, 2000, page A47.

"Ethics Clash of Two Giants," *The National Law Journal*, April 3, 2000, page A22.

"Chasing Matza," *Farbrengen*, Passover 5760 (April 2000), page 20.

"No More Mr. Nice Guys; Picking The Second Banana," *Chicago Tribune*, March 14, 2000, page 13.

"Michael Jordan's Agent Could Be Called for Foul Play," *Newsday*, February 17, 2000, page A58; "Michael Jordan's Endorsement Deals Versus Player Contracts; Can The Wizard's New President Do His Job Fairly?" *The San Francisco Chronicle*, February 9, 2000, page A21.

"Football's Lesson In Conflicts Of Interest," *The National Law Journal*, January 31, 2000, page A21.

"Parents' Rights Must Prevail," *The Dallas Morning News*, January 18, 2000, page 18A;  
"Courts Not Always Best Judge Of Children's Rights, Interests," *The Baltimore Sun*,

January 16, 2000, page 11A; “Parent Trap? Grandparents’ Rights Should Be Determined By Parents, Not The Courts,” *Chicago Tribune*, January 13, 2000, page 23; “Courts Not Always The Best Judge Of Children’s Rights And Interests,” *The Philadelphia Inquirer*, January 13, 2000; “Why Courts Don’t Make Good Parents,” *San Diego Union-Tribune*, January 13, 2000.

“Giving More Power To People Than Many Can Manage,” *Chicago Tribune*, January 2, 2000, Home & Garden, page 3.

“Michigan’s Dearest Pastime,” *The Detroit Free Press*, November 15, 1999.

“Racial Discrimination in Jury Selection,” *The Chicago Tribune*, November 14, 1999, page 21.

“Do You Want To Be A Real Judge, Or Play One?” *The Des Moines Register*, November 9, 1999, page 11; “The 'Real' Judge Joe Brown Is Being Injudicious,” *Newsday*, November 4, 1999, page A54; “‘Judge Joe Brown’ Is Unethical,” *The Memphis Commercial Appeal*, October 15, 1999, page A11; “We Find ‘Judge Joe Brown’ Is Unethical,” *The Chicago Tribune*, October 3, 1999, page 21.

“Peace And Legal Normalcy,” *The National Law Journal*, October 4, 1999, page A25.

“He Told The Unvarnished Truth,” *The Los Angeles Times*, September 1, 1999 (with Steven Drizin); “Stevenson Campaign Holds Lesson On The Value Of Answering Difficult Questions,” *The Minneapolis Star-Tribune*, September 6, 1999, page 19A; “A Presidential Candidate And The Past’s Shadow,” *The Fort Worth Star-Telegram*, September 6, 1999, page 7.

“Linda Tripp Deserves To Be Prosecuted,” *Newsday*, August 25, 1999, page A39; “The Case Against Linda Tripp,” *The Chicago Tribune*, August 23, 1999, page 11.

“The Law Profession Should Have A Place For White Supremacist,” *The Detroit Free Press*, August 22, 1999; “Can A Racist Be A Lawyer?” *The Chicago Tribune*, August 3,

1999, page 11.

“Ethics on The Sick List,” *The National Law Journal*, July 19, 1999, page A21.

“Once Again, Life Imitates Baseball,” *The Chicago Tribune*, July 18, 1999, Family, page 2.

“Nay To The 10 Commandments On School Walls,” *Newsday*, June 23, 1999, page A38; “Judgment Day For Those Who Preach Ten Commandments,” *The Chicago Tribune*, June 22, 1999, page 13; “A Public Display Of Morality: Commandments On The Wall Don’t Guarantee Conduct,” *The San Francisco Chronicle*, June 21, 1999, page A21.

“Medical Practice In Need Of A Treatment,” *The Orlando Sentinel*, May 30, 1999, page G1; “Being Hamstrung By Conditions Of Employment,” *The Chicago Tribune*, April 20, 1999, page 15.

“Sheinbein Case Shows Turns of Extradition Law,” *The Baltimore Sun*, March 19, 1999 page 23A; “The Accused vs. International Law,” *The Chicago Tribune*, March 18, 1999, page 27; “Extradition Laws Twist And Turn,” *Newsday*, March 16, 1999, page A37; “International Criminal Law Comprises A Knotty Tangle,” *Minneapolis Star-Tribune*, March 15, 1999, page 13A “Are Nations Right In Protecting Their Own?” *San Diego Union-Tribune*, March 9, 1999, page B-7.

Mint Juleps and Mogen David,” *Chicago Jewish News*, March 12-19, 1999, page 19.

“Click Your Ruby Slippers, Hillary,” *Morning Edition, National Public Radio*, March 4, 1999.

“Hillary For Senator: Why Just New York?” *The New York Post*, February 27, 1999, page 15; “All Or Nothing: Hillary for Senator . . . and Senator . . . and Senator,” *The Chicago Tribune*, February 24, 1999, page 17.

“Equal Justice for a Prairie State Bigot,” *The Washington Post*, February 24, 1999, page A21.

“Jalapanos: A Burn at the Heart of Texas,” *Atlanta Journal Constitution*, February 22, 1999, page A9.

“The Senators Are Not Jurors, They’re Judges,” *The San Diego Union-Tribune*, January 15, 1999, page B-7; “Senate Must Be The Judge And Jury,” *The Honolulu Advertiser*, January 15, 1999, page A16; “Stop Calling Them Jurors,” *The Chicago Tribune*, January 13, 1999, page 19; “The 100 Clinton ‘Jurors’ Are Judges,” *Newsday*, January 12, 1999, page A29.

“They Have To Judge,” *Morning Edition, National Public Radio*, January 14, 1999.

“And Now for Something Completely Different . . . Who’s in Line to Be President Gore’s New VP?” *San Francisco Chronicle*, January 6, 1999, page A17.

“A Firm Liberal Makes the Case for Impeachment,” *The National Law Journal*, November 30, 1998, page A 21.

“Why Should Ken Starr’s Ethics Matter?” *The Chicago Tribune*, November 27, 1998, page 19; “Why Should Ken Starr’s Ethics Matter?” *The San Diego Union Tribune*, November 27, 1998, page B-11.

“To End This Sordid Mess, All Clinton Has to Do Is Pardon Starr,” *Minneapolis Star Tribune*, November 16, 1998, page 13A; “Getting Rid of a Pest,” *The Chicago Tribune*, November 13, 1998, page 27.

“Is Ken Starr an Ethical Independent Counsel?” *The Chicago Tribune*, October 1, 1998, page 19.

“Here Is Why Clinton Will Not Resign,” *The San Diego Union-Tribune*, September 16, 1998, page B-7; “Endgame: Can Bill ‘n’ Al Sit Down and Hammer This Thing Out?” *The Chicago Tribune*, September 15, 1998, page 19.

“Poor Lawyering Exposed Clinton Unnecessarily,” *The National Law Journal*, August 31, 1998, page A19.



“Stay Home, Bill,” *Morning Edition, National Public Radio*, August 13, 1998.

“The Guilty Dog Walker’s Dilemma,” *The San Francisco Chronicle*, August 7, 1998.

“‘Gracious Submission’ and the Jewish Conversion,” *The Chicago Tribune*, August 6, 1998, page 23; “Jewish Wives, Submit?” *The Denver Post*, July 13, 1998, page B7.

“House Arrest, and Use of Typewriter is Limited,” *The Philadelphia Inquirer*, July 5, 1998, page T1.

“Bagel Talk,” *Newsday*, June 22, 1998, page A29; “Tough Times in the Bagel Business,” *The San Francisco Chronicle*, April 24, 1998; “Time to Put a Stop to All Those Outlandish Bagel Creations,” *The Chicago Tribune*, April 20, 1998, page 15.

“Notes From Deep in the Heart(burn) of Texas,” *The Chicago Tribune*, June 15, 1998, page 15.

“Lost and Found,” *The Chicago Tribune*, May 18, 1998, page 15.

“The Great Matzah Hunt,” *Morning Edition, National Public Radio*, April 14, 1998.

“Big (Apple) Deal About Area Codes,” *San Francisco Chronicle*, March 27, 1998; “Take a Number,” *The Chicago Tribune*, March 23, 1998, page 17.

“This New House: A Twist On The Clock Radio,” *The Chicago Tribune*, February 23, 1998, page 15.

“An Easy Out For Clinton,” *The Los Angeles Times*, January 30, 1998, page 8; “Clinton Has Easy Out In Jones Case, And It Could Bring Political Benefits,” *The Milwaukee Journal Sentinel*, February 8, 1998, page 6; “Clinton Has An Easy Way Out In Paula Jones Case,” *The Minneapolis Star-Tribune*, February 4, 1998, page 14A; “To Win Jones Case, Clinton Could Just Default,” *The Baltimore Sun*, February 4, 1998, page 15A; “How

to Resolve the Jones Suit Quickly,” *The Record*, February 3, 1998, page L13; “A Way Out: Clinton Should ‘Default’ in the Paula Jones Case,” *The Chicago Tribune*, February 1, 1998, page 19.

“Modifiers Revealing Strangely,” *The Dallas Morning News*, February 2, 1998, page 11A; “Be Careful Where You Put That Modifier!” *The Chicago Tribune*, December 29, 1997, page 17.

“Singing Sweet Nothings,” *The Chicago Tribune*, January 26, 1998, page 15.

“Sock It To Me, Daddy,” *The Denver Post*, January 18, 1998, page E-4; “Sock Stash,” *Newsday*, October 27, 1997, page A35; “Sock It to Me: Teens Raise Odd Vestige of the ‘60s,” *The Philadelphia Inquirer*, August 13, 1997, page A19;

“Keep The Ten Commandments Out Of The Courtroom, Judge Moore,” *The Baltimore Sun*, January 6, 1998, page 7A.

“Love ‘Em Or Hate ‘Em, Lawyers Play Important Social Role,” *The Arizona Republic*, January 4, 1998, page H4; “A Lesson for Mr. and Mrs. Dumbfounded,” *The Chicago Tribune*, December 1, 1997, page 17.

“Which Ten Commandments Were Those?” *The Los Angeles Times*, December 8, 1997; “Which Commandments Should Be Obeyed?” *Kansas City Star*, December 14, 1997.

“Ode to a Generation of Has-Beens,” *The Chicago Tribune*, November 3, 1997, page 17.

“Read ‘Em and Weep at the Check Out Line,” *The Chicago Tribune*, October 6, 1997, page 13.

“Fork It Over: You May Have to Pay for Staying Out of Debt,” *The Dallas Morning News*, September 21, 1997, page 7J; “The Plastic Money Pit,” *The San Francisco Chronicle*, September 15, 1997, page A23; “Credit Card Blues,” *The Chicago Tribune*, September 8, 1997, page 11.

“Nature Doesn’t Make a Happy Camper,” *The St. Louis Post-Dispatch*, July 30, 1997, page 7B; “A Dear John Letter to Camping,” *The Denver Post*, July 19, 1997, page B-07; “Camping: A Unique Form of Madness,” *The Chicago Tribune*, July 14, 1997, page 11.

“Which Ten Commandments?” *Morning Edition, National Public Radio*, July 27, 1997.

“Hands Off the First Amendment,” *The Chicago Tribune*, July 4, 1997, page 21.

“Stopover in a Political Twilight Zone,” *The Chicago Tribune*, May 30, 1997, page 23.

“Hammerin’ Henry (Hakohen?),” *The Forward*, May 23, 1997, page 16; “Hammerin’ Henry (HaCohen),” *The Baltimore Sun*, February 24, 1997, page 7A.

“Does Winning Derby Silver Prove Charming to Fillies?” *The Philadelphia Inquirer*, May 12, 1997, page 13; “When Great Expectations Fizzle,” *The Chicago Tribune*, May 7, 1997, page 27.

“Thou Shalt Not Bring Religion into Court,” *The National Law Journal*, May 5, 1997, page A17.

“Stalking the Misplaced Modifiers,” *The Chicago Tribune*, April 23, 1997, page 17; “Listening to Radio, Modifiers Are Misplaced,” *The Philadelphia Inquirer*, April 11, 1997, page 31.

“Equine Sperm and Other Hazards,” *The Chicago Tribune*, March 14, 1997, page 25.

“Hazards and Horse Sense,” *Legal Times*, February 10, 1997, page S47.

“A Nervous Dance Over Evita’s Success,” *The Philadelphia Inquirer*, February 6, 1997, page A27; “Last Tango in the High School Gymnasium,” *The Chicago Tribune*, January 8, 1997, page 15.

“Beware, Oh Hoofed Ones: Elmer Fudd’s on the Prowl,” *The Philadelphia Inquirer*, November 30, 1996, page A17; “Oh, Deer, It’s Probably time to Get Out of There,” *The Chicago Tribune*, November 21, 1996, page 31; “The Deer Hunter’s Annual Shot,” *The San Francisco Chronicle*, November 14, 1996, page A25.

“Smoking, Sex and Politics,” *The Chicago Tribune*, October 17, 1996, page 23.

“Chicago Politics: Is It OK to ‘Duke Yer Rabbi?’” *The Chicago Tribune*, August 29, 1996, page 27.

“A Powell Bid in 2000 is Win-Win for Democrats,” *The Minneapolis Star-Tribune*, August 16, 1996, p. 25A.

“Sex, Smoking and Law,” *The National Law Journal*, July 22, 1996, p. A16.

“I Have Always Been Short for My Age,” *Morning Edition, National Public Radio*, July 17, 1996.

“Why Ya’ll Won’t See Me at the Olympics,” *Chicago Tribune*, July 16, 1996, p. 13.

“Middle-age Metamorphosis,” *Chicago Tribune*, June 9, 1996, p. 21.

“The Presidential Campaign is Off to a Dirty Start,” *The National Law Journal*, April 22, 1996, p. A23.

“Who Are Dem Guys?” *Chicago Sun-Times*, March 10, 1996, p. 38.

“V-Chips, the Gateway to Euphemisms,” *Chicago Tribune*, March 6, 1996, p-13.

“NFL Suitors in a Futile Quest for the Big Time,” *Chicago Sun-Times*, January 6, 1996, p. 16.

"Affirmative Action is as 'American as the Constitution,'" *Chicago Tribune*, October 26, 1995, p. 29.

"Equine Sperm," *Morning Edition, National Public Radio*, October 24, 1995.

"Urban Cynic," *Morning Edition, National Public Radio*, October 20, 1995.

"Policing Police Who Terrorize," *Chicago Tribune*, August 31, 1995, p.25.

"Camping -- It's Relationship to the History of the World," *Morning Edition, National Public Radio*, August 21, 1995.

"Recusal Can Deny Cert," *The National Law Journal*, August 21, 1995, p. A19.

"Disturbing Echoes in U.S. Court Opinion," *The National Law Journal*, January 10, 1994, p. 17.

"Prominence Counts in Pro Bono Appearances," *The National Law Journal*, October 4, 1993, p. 15.

"Practice Oriented Study Proposed for Attorneys," *The National Law Journal*, September 11, 1989, page 43.

"The Looming Crisis in Taxicab Litigation," 14/7 *ATLA Advocate* 2 (1988).