

TONJA JACOBI

357 E. Chicago Ave, Chicago, IL 60611

H: (773) 251-5455 • **O:** (312) 503-1458

t-jacobi@law.northwestern.edu

www.TonjaJacobi.com

ssrn.com/author=459690

ACADEMIC EMPLOYMENT**Northwestern University**

Northwestern Pritzker School of Law

Stanford Clinton and Zylpha Kilbride Clinton	
Research Professor	2019–2021
Professor	2008–
William G. and Virginia K. Karnes	
Research Professor	2014–2016
Associate Professor	2007–2008
Assistant Professor	2004–2007

Ford Center, Kellogg School of Management

Affiliated Faculty Member 2005–

Searle Center

Affiliated Faculty Member 2011–

Stanford University

Hoover Institute

Fellow Spring 2013

Fellow Spring 2012

Department of Political Science

Lecturer Summer 2003

University of Melbourne

Law School

Visiting Scholar Spring 2013

University of Virginia

School of Law

Visiting Associate Professor Spring 2008

EDITORIAL POSITIONSReview of Law and Economics
2012–2014

Associate Editor

EDUCATION

Ph.D.	Stanford University, Political Science Department	2004
	<i>Dissertation:</i> "The Judiciary's Strategic Interactions with the Elected Branches in the U.S. Political System"	
	<i>Committee:</i> John Ferejohn, Barry Weingast, Jeff Strnad	

M.A.	Univ. of California, Berkeley, Political Science Department	2000
------	---	------

LL.B.(~J.D.)	Australian National University; with 1 st Class Honors	1999
B.A.	Australian National University; with 1 st Class Honors	1996

IMPACT STATISTICS

Academic Publications: 46

Citations: 1,253

SSRN downloads: 10,465

H-Index: 23

PUBLICATIONS

Articles

39. Tonja Jacobi & Matthew Sag, *Taking Laughter Seriously at the Supreme Court*, 72 VANDERBILT LAW REVIEW 1423 (2019)
– profiled in NATIONAL LAW JOURNAL, ABA JOURNAL
38. Alvaro Bustos & Tonja Jacobi, *Judicial Choice among Cases for Certiorari*, 27 SUPREME COURT ECONOMIC REVIEW 117–154 (2019)
– The Public Choice Analysis of the Legal System special issue
– research analysis in Scott Baker, *Commentary on Judicial Choice among Cases for Certiorari*, 27 SUPREME COURT ECONOMIC REVIEW __ (forthcoming 2019)
37. Tonja Jacobi & Matthew Sag, *The New Oral Argument: Justices as Advocates*, 94 NOTRE DAME LAW REVIEW 1161–1253 (2019)
– research analysis in WASHINGTON POST
– profiled in NATIONAL LAW JOURNAL; KJZZ (see media, p5)
36. Tonja Jacobi & Kyle Rozema, *Judicial Conflicts and Voting Agreement: Evidence from Interruptions at Oral Argument*
59 BOSTON COLLEGE LAW REVIEW 2259–2317 (2018)
– profiled in SCOTUSBLOG & FINDLAW (see media, below)
35. Tonja Jacobi & Ross Berlin, *Supreme Irrelevance: The Court's Abdication in Criminal Procedure Jurisprudence*
51 UC DAVIS LAW REVIEW 2033–2127 (2018)
– research analysis in AEON
– profiled in THE OUTLINE (see media, below)
34. Tonja Jacobi & Dylan Schweers, *Justice, Interrupted: The Effect of Gender, Ideology and Seniority at Supreme Court Oral Arguments*
103 VIRGINIA LAW REVIEW 1379–1485 (2017)
– research analysis in WASHINGTON POST, SCOTUSBLOG, HARVARD BUSINESS REVIEW, AEON
– profiled in multiple media outlets: NEW YORK TIMES, FORTUNE et al (see media, below)
– cited in S.E.C. v. Adams, 3:18-CV-252-CWR-FKB
– reprinted in HANNAH BRENNER & RENEE KNAKE, GENDER, POWER, LAW, AND LEADERSHIP (West Academic) (2020), 242–254.

33. Tonja Jacobi, *The Future of Terry in the Car Context*
15 OHIO STATE JOURNAL OF CRIMINAL LAW 89–112 (2017)
– Terry at 50 symposium
32. Tonja Jacobi, *Miranda 2.0*
50 UC DAVIS LAW REVIEW 1–85 (2016)
– profiled in CHICAGO DAILY LAW BULLETIN (see media, below)
31. Jesse-Justin Cuevas & Tonja Jacobi, *The Hidden Psychology of Constitutional Criminal Procedure*
37 CARDOZO LAW REVIEW 2161–2237 (2016)
– profiled in KUAF, *Subtle Differences in Legal Procedures* (see media, below)
30. Joshua Fischman & Tonja Jacobi, *The Second Dimension of the Supreme Court*
57 WILLIAM & MARY LAW REVIEW 1671–1715 (2016)
29. Alvaro Bustos & Tonja Jacobi, *A Theory of Judicial Retirement*
17 AMERICAN LAW AND ECONOMICS REVIEW 529–565 (2015)
28. Tonja Jacobi, Sonia Mittal & Barry R. Weingast, *Creating a Self-Stabilizing Constitution: The Role of the Takings Clause*
110 NORTHWESTERN UNIVERSITY LAW REVIEW 601–638 (2015)
– constitutional design symposium
27. Alvaro Bustos & Tonja Jacobi, *Communicating Judicial Retirement*
43 INTERNATIONAL REVIEW OF LAW AND ECONOMICS 107–118 (2015)
26. Tonja Jacobi & Jonah Kind, *Criminal Innovation and the Warrant Requirement: Reconsidering the Rights-Police Efficiency Trade-off*
56 WILLIAM AND MARY LAW REVIEW 759–832 (2015)
25. Tonja Jacobi & Eugene Kontorovich, *Why Judges Always Vote*
43 INTERNATIONAL REVIEW OF LAW AND ECONOMICS 190–199 (2015)
24. Tonja Jacobi, Song Richardson & Gregory Barr, *The Attrition of Rights under Parole*
87 SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA LAW REVIEW 887–976 (2014)
23. Alvaro Bustos & Tonja Jacobi, *Strategic Judicial Preference Revelation*
57 JOURNAL OF LAW AND ECONOMICS 113–137 (2014)
22. Tonja Jacobi, *Obamacare as a Window on Judicial Strategy*
80 TENNESSEE LAW REVIEW 763–845 (2014)
21. Tonja Jacobi & Jeff Vandam, *The Filibuster and Reconciliation: The Future of Majoritarian Lawmaking in the U.S. Senate*
47 UC DAVIS LAW REVIEW 261–342 (2013)
– cited in *Common Cause v. Biden*, U.S. Court of Appeals, D.C. Circuit, (2014) (No. 12-5412)
20. David Haddock, Tonja Jacobi & Matthew Sag, *League Structure & Stadium Rent Seeking – the Antitrust Role Revisited*
65 FLORIDA LAW REVIEW 1 (2013)

19. Tonja Jacobi, *The Law and Economics of the Exclusionary Rule*,
87 NOTRE DAME LAW REVIEW 585–675 (2012)
18. Frank Cross, Tonja Jacobi & Emerson H. Tiller, *A Positive Political Theory of Rules and Standards*
2012 UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS LAW REVIEW 1–41 (2012)
17. Lee Epstein & Tonja Jacobi, *The Strategic Analysis of Judicial Decisions*
6 ANNUAL REVIEW OF LAW AND SOCIAL SCIENCE, 341–358 (2011)
16. Vanessa A. Baird & Tonja Jacobi, *How the Dissent Becomes the Majority: Using Federalism to Transform Coalitions in the U.S. Supreme Court*
59 DUKE LAW JOURNAL 183–238 (2009)
15. Tonja Jacobi, *Competing Theories of Coalition Formation and Case Outcome Determination*,
1 JOURNAL OF LEGAL ANALYSIS 411–458 (2009)
14. Tonja Jacobi, *The Role of Politics and Economics in Explaining Variation in Litigation Rates in the U.S. States*,
38 JOURNAL OF LEGAL STUDIES 205–233 (2009)
13. Vanessa A. Baird & Tonja Jacobi, *Judicial Agenda Setting through Signaling and Strategic Litigant Responses*
29 JOURNAL OF LAW AND POLICY 215–239 (2009)
– empirical legal studies symposium
12. Tonja Jacobi & Matthew Sag, *Taking the Measure of Ideology: Empirically Measuring Supreme Court Cases*
98 THE GEORGETOWN LAW JOURNAL 1–75 (2009)
11. Matthew Sag, Tonja Jacobi & Maxim Sytch, *Ideology and Exceptionalism in Intellectual Property – An Empirical Study*
97 CALIFORNIA LAW REVIEW 801–856 (2009)
10. Lee Epstein & Tonja Jacobi, *Super Medians*
61 STANFORD LAW REVIEW 37–100 (2008)
9. Tonja Jacobi, *The Judicial Signaling Game: How Judges Strategically Shape Their Dockets*,
16 SUPREME COURT ECONOMIC REVIEW 1–38 (2008)
8. Tonja Jacobi & Gwendolyn Carroll, *Acknowledging Guilt: Forcing Self-Identification in Post-Conviction DNA Testing*,
102 NORTHWESTERN UNIVERSITY LAW REVIEW 263–306 (2008)
7. Tonja Jacobi & Emerson H. Tiller, *Legal Doctrine and Political Control*,
23 JOURNAL OF LAW, ECONOMICS, AND ORGANIZATION 326–345 (2007)
6. Tonja Jacobi, *The Subtle Unraveling of Federalism: The Illogic of Using State Legislation As Evidence of an Evolving National Consensus*,
84 NORTH CAROLINA LAW REVIEW 1089–1158 (2006)
– cited in Supreme Court Brief for Respondents, *Baze v. Rees* (2007), relied on in Justice

Alito's concurrence

5. Tonja Jacobi, *Sharing the Love: The Political Power of Remedial Delay in Same-Sex Marriage Cases*, 15 LAW & SEXUALITY 11–58 (2006)
4. Tonja Jacobi, *How Massachusetts Got Gay Marriage: The Intersection of Popular Opinion, Legislative Action and Judicial Power*, 15 JOURNAL OF CONTEMPORARY LEGAL ISSUES 219–241 (2006)
3. Tonja Jacobi, *The Impact of Positive Political Theory on Old Questions of Constitutional Law and the Separation of Powers*, 100 NORTHWESTERN UNIVERSITY LAW REVIEW 259–278 (2006)
– centennial symposium
2. Tonja Jacobi, *The Senatorial Courtesy Game: Explaining the Norm of Informal Vetoes in 'Advice and Consent' Nominations*, 30 LEGISLATIVE STUDIES QUARTERLY 193–217 (2005)
– cited in *The Economist*, *Donald Trump is poised to paint America's judiciary red*, Jan. 3, 2017 (www.economist.com/blogs/democracyinamerica/2017/01/reshaping-courts)
1. Tonja Jacobi, *Same-Sex Marriage: Implications of Legislative Remand for the Judiciary's Role*, 26 VERMONT LAW REVIEW 381–406 (2002)
– cited in Vermont Civil Unions Legislation (15 V.S.A. @ 1201) (2006) & Vermont Marriages and Licenses Legislation (18 V.S.A. @ 5131) (2006)
– republished in GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF MARYLAND, COMPILATION ON STATE LEGISLATURES AS AN INSTITUTION, October 2002

Book Chapters

7. Tonja Jacobi & Ross Berlin, *Terry Stops in the Shadow of Exclusion*, __ SEARCH AND SEIZURE LAW REPORT __ (forthcoming)
6. Tonja Jacobi, Sonia Mittal and Barry R. Weingast, *Judicial Review and the Self-Stabilizing Constitution*, COMPARATIVE JUDICIAL REVIEW (ERIN F. DELANEY AND ROSALIND DIXON, Eds.) (Edward Elgar Press) 185–203 (2018)
5. Tonja Jacobi, *A Strategy of Increasing Judicial Power in NFIB v. Sebelius*, THE AFFORDABLE CARE ACT: PHILOSOPHICAL AND LEGAL IMPLICATIONS (FRITZ ALLHOFF & MARK HALL Eds.) (Routledge), 89–102 (2014)
4. Tonja Jacobi, *The Exclusionary Rule: Its Effect on Innocence and Guilt*, THE CONSTITUTION AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE: A PROGRESSIVE AGENDA (JOHN T. PARRY AND L. SONG RICHARDSON, Eds.) (Cambridge), 75–92 (2013)
3. Tonja Jacobi, *The Judiciary*, PUBLIC CHOICE AND PUBLIC LAW (DAN FARBER AND ANNE JOSEPH O'CONNELL, Eds.); Research Handbooks in Law and Economics series (Richard Posner and Francesco Parisi, Eds.) (Edward Elgar Publishing), 234–260 (2010)
2. Rui de Figueiredo, Tonja Jacobi & Barry R. Weingast, *The New Separation of Powers: Integrating the Study of American Politics*,

HANDBOOK OF POLITICAL ECONOMY (BARRY R. WEINGAST AND DONALD WITTMAN, EDs.) (New York: Oxford University Press), 199–222 (2006)

1. Tonja Jacobi, *Cruel and Unusual Punishment*, ENCYCLOPEDIA OF AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES, (PAUL FINKELMAN, ED.) (Routledge) (2006)

EDITORIALS & BLOG POSTS

SCOTUSOA.COM – co-founder and regular contributor

Tonja Jacobi, Timothy Johnson, Eve Ringmuth & Matthew Sag, [Look who's talking less: Supreme Court justices](#), WASHINGTON POST, Nov. 1, 2019

Tonja Jacobi, [Standards for police are low enough. Supreme Court must stand against police retaliation](#). USA TODAY, Mar. 29, 2019
- republished in [Courier Express](#), Mar. 29, 2019

Tonja Jacobi & Ross Berlin, [Why won't the US Supreme Court do anything about racism?](#) AEON, Dec. 12, 2018
- republished in [RAW STORY](#), Dec. 14, 2018
- republished in [THE WIRE, INDIA](#), Dec. 16, 2018
- republished in [ALTERNET](#), Jan. 13, 2019
- republished in [THEWEEK](#), Jan. 20, 2019

Tonja Jacobi & Matthew Sag, [Supreme Court justices are speaking up more because they're not afraid to be partisan](#), WASHINGTON POST, April 6, 2018

Tonja Jacobi & Dylan Schweers, [How men continue to interrupt even the most powerful women](#), AEON, May 26, 2017
- republished in [THE WIRE](#), May 31, 2017

Tonja Jacobi & Dylan Schweers, [If Gorsuch is like his colleagues, he'll constantly interrupt the female justices](#), THE WASHINGTON POST, April 11, 2017 at 9:51am
- republished as [Men interrupt women at the Supreme Court too](#), THE OREGONIAN, April 12, 2017 at 8:00 AM
- republished as [Will Gorsuch turn out to be like his male colleagues?](#), CHICAGO TRIBUNE, April 13, 2017, 3:00pm

Tonja Jacobi & Dylan Schweers, [Justice interrupted: Female Supreme Court justices more likely to be talked over](#), DENVER POST, April 11, 2017, 1:40pm (replication of Washington Post editorial)

Tonja Jacobi & Dylan Schweers, [Female Supreme Court Justices Are Interrupted More by Male Justices and Advocates](#), HARVARD BUSINESS REVIEW, April 11, 2017

Tonja Jacobi & Dylan Schweers, [Justice, interrupted – Gender, ideology, and seniority at the Supreme Court](#), Legal Scholarship Highlight, SCOTUSBLOG, April 5, 2017
- republished in [CHROME SPLASH](#), April 5, 2017

WORKING PAPERS

Working papers

- *Comparative Oral Argument: What Australia Can Teach Us About the U.S. Supreme court (and Vice-Versa)* — with Zoë Robinson & Patrick Leslie
- *Can Supreme Court Culture Change? Interruptions at Oral Argument, Continued* — with Matthew Sag

Works in Progress

- *Reanimating Reasonableness: Considering the Combined Effect of State Intrusions*
- *A Solution for the Third-Party Doctrine in the 21st Century* — with Dustin Stonecipher
- *The Law of Disposable Children* — with Riley Clifton
- *Supreme Court Oral Advocacy: An Empirical Study* — with Matthew Sag
- *Reasonable Expectations of Privacy Experimental Study* — with Christopher Jaeger
- *Pyrrhic Victories: the Mirage of Winning at the Supreme Court in Criminal Procedure Cases* — with Clare Gaynor Willis
- *Querying the Gender Dynamics of Interruptions at Australian Oral Argument* — with Zoë Robinson & Patrick Leslie, UNSW LAW JOURNAL FORUM (forthcoming 2020)

FIELDS

Judicial politics and behavior — institutional structure, oral arguments, appointments, certiorari, decision-making, and coalition-formation

Criminal procedure — investigation and prosecution

Public law — constitutional structure, constitutional rights, and legislative process

Law and economics & social science methods — formal modeling, empirical studies, and behavioral economics

COURSES TAUGHT

Criminal Procedure

- Childres Award nominee, 2016

Legislation & Statutory Interpretation

Constitutional Law

Contemporary Supreme Court Cases

- outstanding small class professor nominee, 2016, 2017

Congress, Courts and Politics

- outstanding small class professor nominee, 2004

Constitutional Law Colloquium
– teaching award honorable mention, 2011
Positive Political Theory Colloquium
Introduction to American Politics and Government

JUDICIAL & ADVOCATE CONSULTING

Supreme Court Advocate Moots

Johnson v. U.S. (2015) — unconstitutionality of the residual clause of the ACCA
Williams v. PA (2016) — judicial disqualification for prior executive involvement
Manuel v. City of Joliet (2017) — Fourth Amendment malicious prosecution claim
Chavez-Meza v. United States (2018) — proportional sentence reduction discretion
Timbs v. Indiana (2018) — incorporation of excessive fines clause
Rehaif v. U.S. (2019) — scienter requirement in illegal alien possession statute
Kahler v. Kansas (2019) — abolition of the insanity defense

Other Court Advocate Moots

Dassey v. Dittmann (2017) (Seventh Circuit) – voluntariness of a confession

Judicial and Advocate Training

National Judicial Education Symposium for State Court Appellate Justices and Judges,
Chicago, 2018
Illinois Public Defender Association Fall Seminar, Springfield Illinois, 2016
Lake County Circuit Judges' Annual Meeting and Training, Mundelein, 2016

MEDIA

Media Appearances

Supreme Court Oral Arguments:

[Listen: Pardon The Inter...](#), DISCOVERY PODCAST, Season 2, UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON SCHOOL OF LAW, March 16, 2020

[Two Surprising Ways to Predict How Supreme Court Justices Will Vote](#), KELLOGGINSIGHT, Dec. 10, 2018

[Supreme Court Back In Session](#), The 21st Show, NPR, Oct. 1, 2018, at 17:40

[How Impartial Are U.S. Supreme Court Judges?](#) Steve Goldstein, KJZZ 91.5, Apr 24, 2018

[Why women of the Supreme Court get interrupted more than men](#), FEMINIST FIGHT CLUB, KUOW Puget Sound Public Radio, Aug 21, 2018 at 7:47 AM

[Justice, Interrupted](#), MORE PERFECT, Radiolab, Dec 18, 2017

[Women and Liberals on the Supreme Court are Interrupted Most Often](#), NEWSY, APRIL 20, 2017

[Study finds women on Scotus interrupted more than men](#), CENTRAL TIME, WISCONSIN PUBLIC RADIO, April 18, 2017, 4:15pm

[Female Supreme Court Justices Are Interrupted More](#), MICHAELA, CNN, April 13, 2017, 10:44am

[Justice interruptus](#), CBS News, April 12, 2017

Fox News Radio, April 11, 2017

[BBC weekend news programme](#), April 9, 2017, 6:20am (GMT)

Supreme Court Reform:

[Kavanaugh's nomination renews debate over Supreme Court term limits](#), CBS News, Oct 2, 2018

[Should We Reform the Supreme Court?](#) Planet Lex podcast, Sep 19, 2018

Criminal Procedure

[Subtle Differences in Legal Procedures](#) – Profile on research on Gender in Criminal Procedure, KUAF, Ozarks at Large, January 25, 2017

[The Hidden Psychology of Constitutional Criminal Procedure](#), featuring Tonja Jacobi, CONVERSATIONS WITH THE LEGAL ACADEMY, University of Arkansas, January 24, 2017

The Affordable Health Care Act and the Court

[Special on Supreme Court Ruling on the Affordable Health Care Act](#), WBEZ, The Afternoon Shift with Steve Edwards, June 28, 2012

The Indictment of Gov. Rod Blagojevich

Panel with Former Illinois Gov. Rod Blagojevich at Northwestern University, The Daily Northwestern, March 3, 2010, [Part 4](#), [Part 5](#), [Part 6](#)

Commentary & Profiles

Debra Cassens Weiss, ['Laughter is a blood sport at the court' when used by SCOTUS justices, new study says](#), ABA JOURNAL March 12, 2019

Marcia Coyle, ['Laughter Is a Blood Sport' at the Supreme Court, Scholars Say in New Study](#), NATIONAL LAW JOURNAL, March 08, 2019

Amanda Mull, [Congresswoman, Interrupted](#), THE ATLANTIC, Dec. 11, 2018

Richard B. Cohen, [Even Female Judges Get Harassed!](#), ABOVE THE LAW, Oct 19, 2018

Stephanie Francis Ward, [New blog uses data analysis from oral arguments to make Supreme Court predictions](#), ABA JOURNAL, September 18, 2018

Melissa Heelan Stanzione, [Law Profs Aim to Predict SCOTUS Outcomes Via Transcript](#), BLOOMBERG LAW, September 6, 2018

Marcia Coyle, [Who's the Most Polite Justice?](#), SUPREME COURT BRIEF, NATIONAL LAW JOURNAL, August 22, 2018

Marcia Coyle, [Sotomayor, Kagan Are Interrupted the Most at Oral Arguments: Study](#), NATIONAL LAW JOURNAL, August 7, 2018

Michael Waters, [Supreme But Not Relevant: The Supreme Court's silence on criminal justice issues. And how liberal justices can fix it.](#), THE OUTLINE, July 9, 2018

Anthony Gaughan, [The Ghost of Felix Frankfurter](#), THE FACULTY LOUNGE, April 27, 2018

Teal Burrell, [The science behind interrupting: gender, nationality and power, and the roles they play](#), POST MAGAZINE, March 14, 2018

Marcia Coyle, [Supreme Court Justices Are Ever More 'Advocates,' New Law Study Contends](#), NATIONAL LAW JOURNAL, March 9, 2018

Adam Liptak, [On Tour with Notorious RBG](#), NEW YORK TIMES, Feb. 8, 2018

Amanda Frost, [Academic highlight: Jacobi & Rozema on predicting outcomes based on interruptions at oral argument](#), SCOTUSBLOG, Dec. 18th, 2017

William Vogeler, [Do Judicial Interruptions Reveal Conflicts on the Supreme Court?](#) FINDLAW, Sept. 25, 2017

Timothy P. O'Neill, [At 51, Miranda starting to show its age; a tune-up may be in order](#), CHICAGO DAILY LAW BULLETIN, Jul. 10, 2017

Julia Zorthian, [Supreme Court 'Man interruption'](#), TIME, Jun. 1, 2017

Ally Mauch, [NU law school study finds female Supreme Court justices more likely to be interrupted by men than male justices](#), THE DAILY NORTHWESTERN, May 2, 2017

Allison Abrams, [Trickle-Down Misogyny](#), PSYCHOLOGY TODAY, May 1, 2017

[Gabfest](#) (podcast @ 49:20), SLATE, April 20, 2017

[Study: Female Supreme Court Justices Interrupted More Than Male Counterparts](#), HERE & NOW, NPR, April 20, 2017

Renzo Downey, [Yes, even female Supreme Court justices face sexism](#), NORTH BY NORTHWESTERN, April 20 2017

Jamal Andress, [Women and Liberals On The Supreme Court Are Interrupted Most Often](#), NEWSY, April 20, 2017

Adam Liptak, [Let Me Finish, Please: Conservative Men Dominate the Debate](#), NEW YORK TIMES, April 18, 2017, pA13

[THE DAILY \(PODCAST\)](#), NEW YORK TIMES, Tuesday, April 18, 2017

Mark Joseph Stern, [New Justice on the Block](#), SLATE, April 18, 2017

Alex Griswold, [New York Times: Gorsuch May Not Be Polite Because He's Conservative and Male](#), THE WASHINGTON FREE BEACON, April 17, 2017

Adam Liptak, [Why Gorsuch May Not Be So Genteel on the Bench](#), NEW YORK TIMES, Sidebar, April 17, 2017

Charlotte Allen, ["Interrupting" Female Supreme Court Justices: "Mansplaining" or Actually a Good Idea?](#), INDEPENDENT WOMEN'S FORUM, April 17, 2017

Sara G. Miller, [Supremely Annoying: Female SCOTUS Justices Get Interrupted More](#), LIVE SCIENCE, April 14, 2017

Kristiano Ang, [Even Ruth Bader Ginsburg gets 'maninterrupted' at work. What to do when it happens to you](#), MONEYISH, DOW JONES, April 13, 2017

Nicholas Fondacaro, [CBS Highlights Study Claiming Supreme Court Justices Are Sexist](#), NEWS BUSTERS, April 13, 2017

Chris White, [Study Shows Evidence Female Justices Interrupted Three Times More Than Male Colleagues](#), LAWNEWZ, April 13, 2017

Jim Axelrod, [Female Supreme Court justices interrupted more than male colleagues, study says](#), CBS NEWS, April 12, 2017 (transcript of CBS news interview & profile)

Phoebe Maltz Boyv, [Well Actually, Men Interrupt Women Even On The Supreme Court](#), FORWARD, April 12, 2017

Kimberly Weisul, [How Ruth Bader Ginsburg Cut Down on the Supreme Court's 'Maninterrupting'](#), INC., APRIL 12, 2017

Kylie Cheung, [Femininity is used as grounds disrespect female leaders](#), DAILY TROJAN, April 12, 2017

Maggie Mallon, [Here's How Frequently Women Supreme Court Justices Are Interrupted By Men](#), HUFFINGTON POST, April 10, 2017 (replication of Glamour profile)

Ann-Marie Alcántara, [It's Not Just You — Women on the Supreme Court Are Constantly Interrupted by Men, Too](#), POPSUGAR, April 10, 2017

AOL news, [Study: Female Supreme Court justices are interrupted far more often than male justices](#), AOL, April 10, 2017

Jillian Kay Melchior, [Study: Male Supreme Court Justices Constantly Interrupt Women Colleagues](#), HEATSTREET, April 10, 2017

[Here's How Frequently Women Supreme Court Justices Are Interrupted by Men](#), ONBEB, April 10, 2017

[Supremo Machismo: Falas de ministras têm mais interrupções na Suprema Corte dos EUA](#), CONJUR, (Portuguese language), April 10, 2017

Arden Dier, [Men of SCOTUS Interrupt the Women a Lot Female justices interrupted more often than men, researchers say](#), NEWSER, April 9, 2017

Sam Speedy, [Ruth Bader Ginsburg gets interrupted more because she's a woman, study shows](#), MASHABLE, April 9, 2017

[Who's Interrupting Whom at the Supreme Court](#), BLOOMBERG LAW, Big Business Law, April 7, 2017

Melissa Wylie, [Female Supreme Court justices interrupted more often than men](#), BIZWOMEN April 7, 2017, 10:21am

Joseph Barberio, [Even Ruth Bader Ginsburg Gets "Maninterrupted." Here's How She Fights Back](#), WORKING MOTHER, April 7, 2017

Debra Cassens Weiss, [Interruptions of female justices has increased with their representation on SCOTUS, study finds](#), ABA JOURNAL, April 6, 2017

Kathryn Rubino, [It Doesn't Get Better — Women Supreme Court Justices Get Interrupted Too](#), ABOVE THE LAW, April 6, 2017

Hanna Kozłowska, [Women Supreme Court justices get interrupted three times as much as their male counterparts](#), QUARTZ, April 6, 2017

Claire Zilman, [Ruth Bader Ginsburg Used This Simple Trick to Cut Down on 'Maninterrupting'](#), FORTUNE, April 6, 2017

Kaitlin Kimont, [Female Justices Are Interrupted More Than Their Male Colleagues & It's Not Surprising Whatsoever](#), ROMPER, April 6, 2017

Maggie Mallon, [Here's How Frequently Women Supreme Court Justices Are Interrupted by Men](#), GLAMOUR, April 6, 2017

Jason Kottke, [Gender inequality and the Supreme Court](#), KOTTKE.ORG, April 6, 2017

Prachi Gupta, [Even Ruth Bader Ginsburg Gets Interrupted By Men](#), JEZEBEL, April 5, 2017

CONFERENCE ORGANIZATION

Conference co-organizer, *Law and Textual Analysis*: Ford Center, Northwestern Kellogg Business School and Northwestern and Pritzker Law School Faculty Research Conference 2019

Conference co-organizer, *Law and Political Economy of Judicial Behavior*: Ford Center, Northwestern Kellogg Business School and Northwestern Pritzker Law School Faculty Research Conference 2016

SELECT INVITED RESEARCH PRESENTATIONS

Connecticut Law Review Symposium, "Empires or Umpires? Political Questions, Separation of Powers, and Judicial Legitimacy."	October 2020
UC, Berkeley Colloquium on Courts and Judicial Process	April 2020
University of Washington Law Faculty Colloquium	February 2020
Supreme Court Intellectual Property Review, Chicago-Kent Law School	September 2019
Supreme Court panel, Chicago General Counsel Roundtable	June 2019
Antonin Scalia Law School at George Mason University, The Public Choice Analysis of the Legal System Roundtable	February 2019
Keynote speaker, North Carolina Bar Association, "Making the Profession Great Again: Promoting Civility and Sanity in the Practice of Law"	December 2018
Chicago LegalTech Innovation Meetup	November 2018
University of Miami School of Law	March 2018
John Marshall Law School Faculty Roundtable	February 2018
University of New South Wales Gilbert & Tobin Centre of Public Law Comparative Constitutional Law Roundtable	December 2017
University of Torino, Italy, Dottorato di ricerca in Diritti e Istituzioni Faculty Workshop	July 2017
Bocconi University, Italy, Bocconi University, Angelo Sraffa Department of Legal Studies Faculty Workshop	June 2017
Emory Law School Faculty Colloquium	March 2017
Ostrom Workshop Colloquium Series, Indiana University	March 2017
University of Arkansas, Faculty Speaker Series	January 2017
Public Defender Association's Fall Seminar	October 2016
19th Judicial Circuit in Lake County, Judicial Education Training Day	September 2016
American Constitution Society, Supreme Court Vacancy Seminar	March 2016
Bluhm Legal Clinic, Northwestern, Women in Interrogations	March 2016
Miranda at 50, University of Northern Kentucky Law School	February 2016
University of Chicago School of Law, Public Law Workshop	November 2015
New York University Wagner School of Public Service	October 2015
University of Melbourne Law School, Centre for Comparative Constitutional Studies Round Table	December 2014
Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile, Law Workshop	December 2013
Michigan Law and Economics Workshop	September 2013
University of New South Wales Public Law Centre	March 2013
University of Melbourne Law School, Centre for Comparative Constitutional Studies Workshop	March 2013

University of Melbourne Law School Legal Theory Seminar	February 2013
University of Melbourne Law School, Faculty Workshop	February 2013
University of Iowa Law School Faculty Workshop	November 2012
University of Southern California, Price School of Public Policy	November 2012
Harvard Law School, Law & Economics Workshop	September 2011
University of Chicago, Constitutional Criminal Procedure Lecture	April 2011
University of Chicago and Northwestern Law Schools, Judicial Behavior Workshop	February 2009
American Constitution Society & Federalist Society, <i>The Future of Gun Control after Heller</i> Seminar	January 2009
George Mason University Law School, Faculty Workshop	November 2008
Harvard Law School, Public Law Workshop	November 2007
University of Virginia, Olin Law & Economics Workshop	November 2007
Boalt Law School, UC, Berkeley, Law and Economics Workshop	October 2007
University of Pennsylvania Law School, Positive Approaches to Constitutional Law and Theory Symposium	February 2007
University of Pennsylvania Law School, Faculty Workshop	November 2006
University of Southern California, Law and Economics Workshop	November 2005
New York University, Law and Economics Workshop	November 2005
Stanford University, Olin Law and Economics Program	November 2003

SELECT CONFERENCE PARTICIPATION

Crimfest	2019
Conference on Empirical Legal Studies	2007, 2011, 2018
Political Institutions and Economic Policy (PIEP), Ostrom Workshop	2017
Comparative Judicial Review	2016
Loyola University Chicago Law School Conference on Judicial Decision-Making	2016
American Political Science Association Annual Conference	2003, 2004, 2005, 2007, 2008, 2009, 2014
American Law and Economics Association Annual Meeting	May 2005, 2006, 2007, 2008, 2009, 2011, 2013
European Law and Economics Association Annual Meeting	2009, 2012
Midwest Political Science Association National Conference	April 2004, 2005, 2006, 2007, 2009, 2010, 2011, 2012
Canadian Law and Economics Association Annual Meeting	2005, 2012
Searle Center Conference on Antitrust Economics and Competition Policy	2011
Law and Positive Political Theory Conference	2005, 2009, 2010
Law and Social Sciences Conference	2010
Intellectual Property Scholars Conference	2008, 2009
Political Economy and Public Law Conference	2008
Midwest Law and Economics Association Annual Meeting	2005
American Association of Law Scholars	2005

GRANTS, FELLOWSHIPS AND PRIZES

Northwestern Public Voices Thought Leadership Fellowship	2018–2019
W. Glenn Campbell and Rita Ricardo-Campbell Fellowship, Hoover Institute, Stanford University	2012, 2013
Searle Fellow	2010–2014
Research Fellowship, Searle Fund for Policy Research	2005
Ph.D. Scholarship, Stanford University	2000–2004
Essay Prize, AT&T	2000
Tuition Scholarship, Institute of Governmental Studies, U.C. Berkeley	1999–2000
1 st Class Honors in Law, Australian National University	1998
1 st Class Honors in Political Science, Australian National University	1996
Top Ranked Honors Thesis in Political Science, Australian National University	1996
L.F. Crisp Prize in Political Science, Australian National University	1993

SERVICE

Appointments Committee	2019–2020
Northwestern University Organization of Women Faculty	2017–2020
NULR Empirical Advisory Board and peer reviewer	2018–2019
Promotions Committee	2018–2019
Faculty Workshops Committee, Chair	2014–2017
Tenure Review Committee	2014–2015
Appointments Committee	2011–2012
Faculty Workshops Committee, Chair	2010–2012
Executive Committee of the AALS Law and Social Science Section	2010–2011
Tenure Review Committee	2008–2009
Entry Level Appointments Committee	2006–2007
Constitutional Law Appointments Committee	2005–2007
JD–PhD Committee	2005–2006
Entry Level Appointments Committee	2004–2005

PROFESSIONAL MEMBERSHIPS

American Political Science Association	American Association of Law Scholars
American Law & Economics Association	Admitted to the High Court Bar, Australia
Society for Empirical Legal Studies	

JOURNAL AD HOC REVIEW

American Journal of Political Science
American Law and Economics Review
American Political Science Review
American Politics Research
Economics Bulletin
Journal of Empirical Legal Studies
Journal of Law, Economics, and
Organization

Journal of Legal Analysis
Journal of Politics
Journal of Women, Politics & Policy
Law and Social Inquiry
Law and Society Review
Political Research Quarterly
Review of Law and Economics
Supreme Court Economic Review

Date: May 1, 2020